

As the market leader in North America, the Gibraltar Cable Barrier System is the best designed, easiest-to-install system, making it safer and a better value for highway contractors and maintenance crews.

### Gibraltar Cable Barriers ... Simply Better



# MASH 2016 TL4 CABLE BARRIER SYSTEM

Gibraltar Cable Barrier System 1208 Houston Clinton Dr. Burnet, Texas 78611 +1 (512) 715-0808 www.gibraltarglobal.com

Updated: March 2024



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

(Click heading to go to location)

Testing Summary	<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>
Flat Terrain	<b>4:1 Slope</b>	TL3 Terminal
Small Car 4-10 Pickup - 4-11 (21' post spacing) Pickup - 4-11 (7' post spacing) Heavy Truck - 4-12 (21' post spacing)	Front Slope Pickup - 3-13 Front Slope Small Car - 3-14 Front Slope Mid-size Car - 3-17 Back Slope Small Car - 3-16 Back Slope Pickup - 3-18	Offset Small Car - 3-30 0 Degree Angle Pickup - 3-31 5 Degree Angle Small Car - 3-32 5 Degree Angle Pickup - 3-33 15 Degree Angle Small Car - 3-34 25 Degree Angle Pickup - 3-35 25 Degree Angle Reverse Direction

## System Drawings

## Research Studies

## Cable Barriers in the Media

## Installation Guide



### **Testing Summary**

### **TL4 Flat Terrain**

			Nominal	Nominal			
Eligibility		Test	Speed	Angle	Post	Working Width/	
Letter	Test No.	Vehicle	(mph)	(deg)	Spacing	Deflection	
<i>B-316</i>	4-10	1100C	62	25	7.0	7.6	Flat Terrain
<i>B-316</i>	4-11	2270P	62	25	7.0	7.9	Flat Terrain
<i>B-316</i>	4-11	2270P	62	25	21.0	13.8	Flat Terrain
<i>B-316</i>	4-12	10000S	55	15	21.0	17.4	Flat Terrain

### 4:1 or Flatter

Eligibility Letter	Test No.	Test Vehicle	Nominal Speed (mph)	Nominal Angle (deg)	Post Spacing	Working Width/ Deflection	
B-340	3-13	2270P	62	25	7.0	12.5	4:1 <sup>A,B</sup>
<i>B-340</i>	3-14	1100C	62	25	7.0	5.5	4:1 <sup>A,B</sup>
<i>B-340</i>	3-17	1500A	62	25	18.0	13.5	4:1 <sup>A,C</sup>
<i>B-340</i>	<i>3-16</i>	1100C	62	25	7.0	3.0	4:1 <sup>A,D</sup>
<i>B-340</i>	<i>3-18</i>	2270P	62	25	16.0	15.0	4:1 <sup>A,E</sup>

### **TL3 Terminal**

			Nominal	Nominal			
Eligibility		Test	Speed	Angle	Post	Working Width/	
Letter	Test No.	Vehicle	(mph)	(deg)	Spacing	Deflection	
CC-162	<i>3-30</i>	1100	62	0	N/A	N/A	Flat Terrain
CC-162	3-31	2270P	62	0	N/A	N/A	Flat Terrain
CC-162	<i>3-32</i>	1100C	62	5	N/A	N/A	Flat Terrain
CC-162	<i>3-33</i>	2270P	62	5	N/A	N/A	Flat Terrain
CC-162	<i>3-34</i>	1100C	62	15	N/A	N/A	Flat Terrain
CC-162	<i>3-35</i>	2270P	62	25	N/A	N/A	Flat Terrain
CC-162	3-37b	2270P	62	25	N/A	N/A	Flat Terrain

A. For systems placed on 4:1 slopes, the system shall be placed no farther than 4.0ft down the Front SBP, and no closer than 15.0' from the ditch bottom

B. 4.0ft from the Front SBP on 46.0ft Wide V-ditch

C. 2.0ft from the Front SBP on 46.0ft Wide V-ditch

D. 4.0ft from the Back SBP on 46.0ft Wide V-Ditch

E. 8.0ft from the Back SBP on 46.0ft Wide V-ditch(15' from ditch bottom)



June 19, 2020

1200 New Jersey Ave., SE Washington, D.C. 20590

In Reply Refer To: HSST-1/<mark>B-316A</mark>

Mr. Ron Faulkenberry Gibraltar Global LLC 1208 Houston Clinton Drive Burnet, TX 78611 United States

Dear Mr. Faulkenberry:

On February 27, 2020, The Federal Highway Administration's Office of Safety issued eligibility letter B-316 for the Gibraltar Global TL-4 Cable Barrier System. The Office of Safety has recently made modifications to its eligibility letter website to be more consistent with the 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition of American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials'(AASHTO's) Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH) and the additional test matrices for cable barriers therein. These modifications have necessitated the update of certain eligibility letters including B-316. The modification for B-316 consists of adding the phrase "Level Terrain" after the original description of the device to indicate the as-tested conditions.

Please note that this updated letter B-316A will in no way affect the eligibility for the associated device as was determined on February 27, 2020. This letter will supersede the original letter B-316 in full.

### **Decision**

The following device is eligible within the length-of-need, with details provided in the form which is attached as an integral part of this letter:

• Gibraltar Global TL-4 Cable Barrier System, Level Terrain

### **Scope of this Letter**

To be found eligible for Federal-aid funding, new roadside safety devices should meet the crash test and evaluation criteria contained in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials'(AASHTO) Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH). However, the FHWA, the Department of Transportation, and the United States Government do not regulate the manufacture of roadside safety devices. Eligibility for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program does not establish approval, certification or endorsement of the device for any particular purpose or use.

This letter is not a determination by the FHWA, the Department of Transportation, or the United States Government that a vehicle crash involving the device will result in any particular outcome, nor is it a guarantee of the in-service performance of this device. Proper

manufacturing, installation, and maintenance are required in order for this device to function as tested.

This finding of eligibility is limited to the crashworthiness of the system and does not cover other structural features, nor conformity with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices.

### **Eligibility for Reimbursement**

Based solely on a review of crash test results and certifications submitted by the manufacturer, and the crash test laboratory, FHWA agrees that the device described herein meets the crash test and evaluation criteria of the AASHTO's MASH. Therefore, the device is eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program if installed under the range of tested conditions.

Name of system: Gibraltar Global TL-4 Cable Barrier System, Level Terrain Type of system: Longitudinal Barrier Test Level: MASH Test Level 4 (TL4) Testing conducted by: KARCO Engineering Date of request: November 02, 2018

FHWA concurs with the recommendation of the accredited crash testing laboratory on the attached form.

### **Full Description of the Eligible Device**

The device and supporting documentation, including reports of the crash tests or other testing done, videos of any crash testing, and/or drawings of the device, are described in the attached form.

### **Notice**

This eligibility letter is issued for the subject device as tested. Modifications made to the device are not covered by this letter. Any modifications to this device should be submitted to the user (i.e., state DOT) as per their requirements.

You are expected to supply potential users with sufficient information on design, installation and maintenance requirements to ensure proper performance.

You are expected to certify to potential users that the hardware furnished has the same chemistry, mechanical properties, and geometry as that submitted for review, and that it will meet the test and evaluation criteria of AASHTO's MASH.

Issuance of this letter does not convey property rights of any sort or any exclusive privilege. This letter is based on the premise that information and reports submitted by you are accurate and

correct. We reserve the right to modify or revoke this letter if: (1) there are any inaccuracies in the information submitted in support of your request for this letter, (2) the qualification testing was flawed, (3) in-service performance or other information reveals safety problems, (4) the system is significantly different from the version that was crash tested, or (5) any other information indicates that the letter was issued in error or otherwise does not reflect full and complete information about the crashworthiness of the system.

### **Standard Provisions**

- To prevent misunderstanding by others, this letter of eligibility designated as FHWA control number B-316A shall not be reproduced except in full. This letter and the test documentation upon which it is based are public information. All such letters and documentation may be reviewed upon request.
- This letter shall not be construed as authorization or consent by the FHWA to use, manufacture, or sell any patented system for which the applicant is not the patent holder.
- This FHWA eligibility letter is not an expression of any Agency view, position, or determination of validity, scope, or ownership of any intellectual property rights to a specific device or design. Further, this letter does not impute any distribution or licensing rights to the requester. This FHWA eligibility letter determination is made based solely on the crash-testing information submitted by the requester. The FHWA reserves the right to review and revoke an earlier eligibility determination after receipt of subsequent information related to crash testing.

Sincerely,

Michael S. Fuffith

Michael S. Griffith Director, Office of Safety Technologies Office of Safety

Enclosures

1-1-1

Version 10.0 (05/16) Page 1 of 5

### Request for Federal Aid Reimbursement Eligibility of Highway Safety Hardware

	Date of Request:	November 02, 2018	New	○ Resubmission
	Name:	Robert Ramirez		
ter	Company:	KARCO Engineering		
mit	Address:	9270 Holly Rd. Adelanto, CA 92301		
Suk	Country:	United States		
	To:	Michael S. Griffith, Director FHWA, Office of Safety Technologies		

I request the following devices be considered eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program.

	<b>Device &amp; Testing</b>	Criterion - Enter from rid	ght to left starting	with Test Level
--	-----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-----------------

System Type	Submission Type	Device Name / Variant	Testing Criterion	Test
'B': Rigid/Semi-Rigid Barriers (Roadside, Median, Bridge Railings)	<ul> <li>Physical Crash Testing</li> <li>Engineering Analysis</li> </ul>	Gibraltar Global TL-4 Cable Barrier System	AASHTO MASH	TL4

By submitting this request for review and evaluation by the Federal Highway Administration, I certify that the product(s) was (were) tested in conformity with the AASHTO Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware and that the evaluation results meet the appropriate evaluation criteria in the MASH.

#### Individual or Organization responsible for the product:

Contact Name: Ron Faulkenberry Same as Submitter				
Company Name:	Gibraltar Global LLC	Same as Submitter 🗌		
Address: 1208 Houston Clinton Drive, Burnet, TX 78611 Same as Submitter				
Country: United States Same as Submitter				
Enter below all disclosures of financial interests as required by the FHWA `Federal-Aid Reimbursement				
Eligibility Process for	or Safety Hardware Devices' document.			

All MASH testing was conducted at Karco Engineering in Adelanto, CA. Karco Engineering was compensated for conducting the tests but has no financial nor patent interests in any of Gibraltar's products.

Version 10.0 (05/16) Page 2 of 5

### PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

G	New Hardware or	Modification to
(•	Significant Modification	<sup>6</sup> Existing Hardware

The Gibraltar Global TL-4 Cable Barrier System is a high tension 4-cable longitudinal barrier. The barrier consists of four (4) 0.75 in. (19 mm) steel cables, C-section steel posts, steel sockets, aluminum hair pins and steel lock plates. The C-section posts were placed on alternate sides of the 4 cables and are held in place by the aluminum hair pins. The top two (2) cables of the system were stitched together making the cables alternate in the top hairpin location. The hair pins held the cables at 20.0 in (508 mm), 30.0 in (762 mm) and 39.0 in. (991 mm) above grade. The system can be installed with post spacing ranging from 7 ft. to 21 ft.

Gibraltar also offers various post and socket options such as concrete socket foundations with steel or plastic sockets, driven steel sockets, and direct driven posts. Other options include swaged and wedge-type fittings which were installed and crash tested. Pre-stretched and non pre-stretched cable are permissible.

### CRASH TESTING

By signature below, the Engineer affiliated with the testing laboratory, agrees in support of this submission that all of the critical and relevant crash tests for this device listed above were conducted to meet the MASH test criteria. The Engineer has determined that no other crash tests are necessary to determine the device meets the MASH criteria.

Engineer Name:	Robert Ramirez		
Engineer Signature:	Robert Ramirez	Digitally signed by Rober DN: cn=Robert Ramirez, c email=rramirez@karco.cc Date: 2018.11.01 15:54:48	t Ramirez >=KARCO Engineering, ou=Project Engineer, om, c=US 3 -07'00'
Address:	9270 Holly Rd. Adelanto, CA 92301		Same as Submitter 🗌
Country:	United States		Same as Submitter 🗌

A brief description of each crash test and its result:

Required Test	Narrative	Evaluation
Number	Description	Results
4-10 (1100C)	KARCO Engineering Project number P37379-01 was conducted with an 1100C test vehicle impacting the system midspan between posts at a nominal velocity and angle of 62 mph and 25 degrees, respectively. As recommend by MASH 2016 the narrowest allowable post spacing of 7.0 ft. (2.1 m) was used. The test vehicle, a 2011 Kia Rio weighing 2,427.2 lbs (1,101.0 kg) impacted the system at a speed and angle of 62.38 mph (100.39 km/h) and 25.1 degrees, respectively. The system redirected the vehicle and had a maximum working width of 7.6 ft. (2.3 m). The test vehicle sustained moderate damage. There was no potential for the article to penetrate the vehicle and the occupant compartment deformation limits were not exceeded. The Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) and ridedown accelerations are within the recommended limits.	PASS

### Version 10.0 (05/16) Page 3 of 5

Required Test	Narrative	Evaluation	
Number	Description	Results	
4-11 (2270P)	As recommend by MASH 2016 the narrowest allowable post spacing of 7.0 ft. (2.1 m) and the widest allowable post spacing of 21.0 ft. (6.4 m) was tested with the 2270P test vehicle. KARCO Engineering Project number P37358-01 was conducted with a 2270P test vehicle impacting the system 1.0 ft. (0.3 m) upstream of a post with the narrowest allowable post spacing of 7.0 ft. (2.1 m) at a nominal velocity and angle of 62 mph and 25 degrees, respectively. The test vehicle, a 2013 Chevrolet Silverado weighing 5,011.0 lbs (2,273.0 kg) impacted the system at a speed and angle of 60.93 mph (98.06 km/h) and 25.3 degrees, respectively. The system redirected the vehicle and had a maximum working width of 7.9 ft. (2.4 m). The test vehicle sustained moderate damage. There was no potential for the article to penetrate the vehicle and the occupant compartment deformation limits were not exceeded. The Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) and ridedown accelerations are within the recommended limits. KARCO Engineering Project number P37359-01 was conducted with a 2270P test vehicle impacting the system 1.0 ft. (0.3 m) upstream of a post with the widest allowable post spacing of 21.0 ft (6.4 m) at a nominal velocity and angle of 62 mph and 25 degrees, respectively. The test vehicle, a 2013 Chevrolet Silverado weighing 5,028.7 lbs (2,281.0 kg) impacted the system at a speed and angle of 61.78 mph (99.43 km/h) and 25.1 degrees, respectively. The test vehicle, a 2013 Chevrolet Silverado weighing 5,028.7 lbs (2,281.0 kg) impacted the system at a speed and angle of 61.78 mph (99.43 km/h) and 25.1 degrees, respectively. The test vehicle sustained moderate damage. There was no potential for the article to penetrate the vehicle and the occupant compartment deformation limits were not exceeded. The Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) and ridedown accelerations are within the recommended limits.	PASS	

#### Version 10.0 (05/16) Page 4 of 5

4-12 (10000S)	KARCO Engineering Project number P37320-01 was conducted with an 10000S test vehicle impacting the system 1.0 ft. (0.3 m) upstream of a post at a nominal velocity and angle of 56 mph and 15 degrees, respectively. The largest allowable post spacing of 21.0 ft. (6.4 m) was tested to increase the loading on the splices. The test vehicle, a 2007 Ford F650 weighing 22,641.1 lbs (10,270.0 kg) impacted the system at a speed and angle of 54.39 mph (87.54 km/h) and 14.9 degrees, respectively. The system redirected the vehicle and had a maximum working width of 17.4 ft. (5.3 m). The maximum test debris was approximately 25 ft. laterally to the non-traffic side of the barrier. The test vehicle sustained moderate damage. There was no potential for the article to penetrate the vehicle and the occupant compartment deformation limits	PASS
4-20 (1100C)	Test 4-20 is not applicable for this type of	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
4-21 (2270P)	Test 4-21 is not applicable for this type of	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
4-22 (10000S)	system. Test 4-22 is not applicable for this type of	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
	system.	

Full Scale Crash Testing was done in compliance with MASH by the following accredited crash test laboratory (cite the laboratory's accreditation status as noted in the crash test reports.):

Laboratory Name:	Applus IDIADA KARCO Engineering		
Laboratory Signature:	AB_	Digitally signed by Alex Beltran DN: cn-Alex Beltran, o=KARCO Engineering, ou=Testing Laboratory, email=abeltran@karco.com, c=US Date: 2018.11.01 15:51:22 -07'00'	
Address:	9270 Holly Rd. Adelanto CA. 92301		Same as Submitter 🗌
Country:	United States		Same as Submitter 🗌
Accreditation Certificate Number and Dates of current Accreditation period :	TL-371 valid up to July 1, 2019		

Submit Form

### ATTACHMENTS

Attach to this form:

- 1) Additional disclosures of related financial interest as indicated above.
- 2) A copy of the full test report, video, and a Test Data Summary Sheet for each test conducted in support of this request.
- 3) A drawing or drawings of the device(s) that conform to the Task Force-13 Drawing Specifications [Hardware Guide Drawing Standards]. For proprietary products, a single isometric line drawing is usually acceptable to illustrate the product, with detailed specifications, intended use, and contact information provided on the reverse. Additional drawings (not in TF-13 format) showing details that are relevant to understanding the dimensions and performance of the device should also be submitted to facilitate our review.

#### FHWA Official Business Only:

Eligibility Letter		
Number	Date	Key Words

### MASH Test 4-10 Summary









0.600 s



0.000 s

0.200 s

0.400 s



0.800 s



GENERAL INFORMATION	
Test Agency	KARCO Engineering, LLC.
KARCO Test No	P3791-01
Test Designation	4-10
Test Date	12/06/17
TEST ARTICLE	
Name / Model	TL-4 Cable Barrier System
Туре	Longitudinal Barrier
Installation Length	597.7 ft. (182.2 m)
Post Spacing	7.0 ft. (2.1 m)
Key Elements	Cable, Hair Pins, Lock Plates
Road Surface	Concrete and Soil
Type / Designation	1100C
Year, Make, and Model	2011 Kia Rio
Curb Mass	2,489.0 lbs (1,129.0 kg)
Test Inertial Mass	2,427.2 lbs (1,101.0 kg)
Gross Static Mass	2,621.3 lbs (1,189.0 kg)

Impact Conditions Impact Angle......25.1° Location / Orientation...... 3.5 ft. (1.1 m) upstream of Post 42 

#### **Exit Conditions**

Exit Velocity	. 50.2 mph (80.8 km/h)
Exit Angle	.7.1°
Final Vehicle Position	236.8 ft. (72.2 m ) Downstream
	32.4 ft. (9.9 m) Right
Exit Box Criterion	Exited within exit box
Vehicle Snagging	Satisfactory
Vehicle Pocketing	Satisfactory
Maximum Roll Angle	.23.4°
Maximum Pitch Angle	. 8.3°
Maximum Yaw Angle	30.0°

#### **Occupant Risk** Longitudinal OIV..... 15.7 ft/s (4.8 m/s) Lateral OIV...... 13.5 ft/s (4.1 m/s) Longitudinal RA.....-3.8 g Lateral RA..... 5.2 g THIV..... 23.3 ft/s (7.1 m/s) PHD.....5.5 g ASI...... 0.50

#### **Test Article Deflections**

Static	N/A
Dynamic	78.5 in. (2.0 m)
Working Width	90.9 in. (2.3 m)
Debris Field	13.0 ft. (4.0 m)
	Field Side
Vehicle Damage	
Vehicle Damage Scale	11LFQ6
CDC	11LYAK8
Maximum Intrusion	1.0 in. (25 mm)

Figure 3 Summary of Test 4-10

### MASH Test 4-11 Summary



0.000 s

0.200 s

0.400 s





GENERAL INFORMATION	Impact Conditions	Occupant Risk
Test Agency Applus IDIADA KARCO	Impact Velocity	Longitudinal OIV 8.5 ft/s (2.6 m/s)
KARCO Test No P37359-01	Impact Angle	Lateral OIV 9.5 ft/s (2.9 m/s)
Test Designation 4-11	Location / Orientation 11.5 in. (292 mm) upstream from post 17	Longitudinal RA2.6 g
Test Date 12/07/18	Impact Severity 115.5 kip-ft (156.5 kJ)	Lateral RA 3.4 g
		THIV 13.1 ft/s (4.0 m/s)
TEST ARTICLE	Exit Conditions	PHD 3.7 g
Name / Model TL-4 Cable Barrier System	Exit Velocity 47.40 mph (76.28 km/h)	ASI0.31
Type Longitudinal Barrier	Exit Angle2.4°	
Installation Length 597.7 ft. (182.2 m)	Final Vehicle Position 315.3 ft. (96.1 m ) Downstream	Test Article Deflections
Post Spacing 21.0 ft. (6.4 m)	0.7 ft. (0.2 m) Traffic side	StaticN/A
Key Elements Cable, Hair Pins, Lock Plates	Exit Box Criteria Met Yes	Dynamic 13.8 ft. (4.2 m)
Road Surface Concrete and Soil	Vehicle Snagging Satisfactory	Working Width 13.8 ft. (4.2 m)
TEST VEHICLE	Vehicle Pocketing Satisfactory	Debris (lateral) 14.5 ft. (4.4 m)
Type / Designation 2270P	Maximum Roll Angle3.1 °	Vehicle Damage*
Year, Make, and Model 2013 Chevrolet Silverado 1500	Maximum Pitch Angle2.9 °	Vehicle Damage Scale 11-LFQ-3
Curb Mass 5,067.2 lbs (2,298.5 kg)	Maximum Yaw Angle25.7 °	CDC 11LFEN2
Test Inertial Mass 5,028.7 lbs (2,281.0 kg)		Maximum Intrusion none
Gross Static Mass 5,028.7 lbs (2,281.0 kg)		*Vehicle damaged assessed before secondary impact.

Figure 4 Summary of Test 4-11

### MASH Test 4-11 Summary



0.000 s

0.200 s

0.300 s

0.500 s

— 256.6 ft. [78.2 m] — 25 23 21 19 17 15 13 11 

GENERAL INFORMATION	
Test Agency	Applus IDIADA KARCO
KARCO Test No	P37358-01
Test Designation	4-11
Test Date	12/07/18
TEST ARTICLE	
Name / Model	TL-4 Cable Barrier System
Туре	Longitudinal Barrier
Installation Length	597.7 ft. (182.2 m)
Post Spacing	7.0 ft (2.1 m)
Key Elements	Cable, Hair Pins, Lock Plates
Road Surface	Concrete and soil
TEST VEHICLE	
Type / Designation	2270P
Year, Make, and Model	2013 Chevrolet Silverado 1500
Curb Mass	5,261.2 lbs (2,386.5 kg)
Test Inertial Mass	5,011.0 lbs (2,273.0 kg)
Gross Static Mass	5.011.0 lbs (2.273.0 kg)

l	mpact Conditions	
	Impact Velocity	60.93 mph (98.06 km/h)
	Impact Angle	25.3°
	Location / Orientation	1.5 in. upstream from Post 42
	Impact Severity	113.6 kip-ft (154.0 kJ)

#### Exit Conditions

Exit Velocity	36.7 mph (59.1 km/h)
Exit Angle	6.2°
Final Vehicle Position	256.6 ft. (78.2 m ) Downstream
	3.1 ft. (0.9 m) Right
Exit Box Criterion	Exited within exit box
Vehicle Snagging	None
Vehicle Pocketing	None
Maximum Roll Angle	5.4 °
Maximum Pitch Angle	3.6 °
Maximum Yaw Angle	-26.3 °

### Occupant Risk

Longitudinal OIV	9.2 ft/s (2.8 m/s)
Lateral OIV	12.1 ft/s (3.7 m/s)
Longitudinal RA	-4.0 g
Lateral RA	5.6 g
THIV	15.7 ft/s (4.8 m/s)
PHD	5.3 g
ASI	0.41

0.700 s

#### **Test Article Deflections**

	Static	0.5 ft. (0.2 m)
	Dynamic	7.9 ft. (2.4 m)
	Working Width	7.9 ft. (2.4 m)
	Debris Field	10.0 ft. (3.0 m) Field
		side
V	ehicle Damage	
	Vehicle Damage Scale	11-LFQ-3
	CDC	11LYEW2
	Maximum Intrusion	0.5 in. (13 mm)

Figure 3 Summary of Test 4-11

### MASH Test 4-12 Summary





GENERAL INFORMATION		Impact Conditions	Occupant Risk
Test Agency	Applus IDIADA KARCO	Impact Velocity 54.39 mph (87.53 km/h)	Longitudinal OIV N/A
KARCO Test No	P37320-01	Impact Angle 14.9°	Lateral OIV N/A
Test Designation	4-12	Location / Orientation 1.0. ft. Upstream of Post	Longitudinal RA N/A
Test Date	12/5/17	Impact Severity 148.0 kip-ft (200.7 kJ)	Lateral RA N/A
			THIVN/A
TEST ARTICLE		Exit Conditions	PHD N/A
Name / Model	TL-4 Cable Barrier	Exit Velocity N/A	ASIN/A
Туре	Longitudinal Barrier	Exit Angle 3.2°	
Installation Length	625.7 ft. (190.7 m)	Final Vehicle Position 326.0 ft. (99.4 m ) downstream	Test Article Deflections
Key Elements	Cable, Hair Pins, Lock Plates		Static 5.0 ft. (1.5 m)
Road Surface	Concrete and Soil	Exit Box Criteria Met N/A	DynamicN/A*
		Vehicle Snagging None	Working Width 17.4 ft. (5.3 m)
TEST VEHICLE		Vehicle PocketingNone	· · · · ·
Type / Designation	10000S	Maximum Roll AngleN/A	Vehicle Damage
Year, Make, and Model	2007 Ford F-750	Maximum Pitch Angle N/A	Vehicle Damage Scale 12-FL-2
Curb Mass	16,210.5 lbs (7,353.1 kg)	Maximum Yaw Angle N/A	CDC 12FLDW1
Test Inertial Mass	22,641.1 lbs (10,270.0 kg)		Maximum Intrusion No measureable deformation
Gross Static Mass	22,641.1 lbs (10,270.0 kg)		*Cable wrapped around vehicle. Measurement unable to be taken.

Figure 3 Summary of Test 4-12











July 20, 2020



1200 New Jersey Ave., SE Washington, D.C. 20590

In Reply Refer To: HSST-1/B-340

Mr. Ron Faulkenberry Gibraltar Global LLC 1208 Houston Clinton Drive Burnet, Texas 78611 USA

Dear Mr. Faulkenberry:

This letter is in response to your May 08, 2020 request for the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) to review a roadside safety device, hardware, or system for eligibility for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program. This FHWA letter of eligibility is assigned FHWA control number B-340 and is valid until a subsequent letter is issued by FHWA that expressly references this device.

### **Decision**

The following device is eligible within the length-of-need, with details provided in the form which is attached as an integral part of this letter:

• Gibraltar Global TL-3 Cable Barrier System, 4H:1V Slope

### **Scope of this Letter**

To be found eligible for Federal-aid funding, new roadside safety devices should meet the crash test and evaluation criteria contained in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials'(AASHTO) Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH). However, the FHWA, the Department of Transportation, and the United States Government do not regulate the manufacture of roadside safety devices. Eligibility for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program does not establish approval, certification or endorsement of the device for any particular purpose or use.

This letter is not a determination by the FHWA, the Department of Transportation, or the United States Government that a vehicle crash involving the device will result in any particular outcome, nor is it a guarantee of the in-service performance of this device. Proper manufacturing, installation, and maintenance are required in order for this device to function as tested.

This finding of eligibility is limited to the crashworthiness of the system and does not cover other structural features, nor conformity with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices.

### **Eligibility for Reimbursement**

Based solely on a review of crash test results and certifications submitted by the manufacturer, and the crash test laboratory, FHWA agrees that the device described herein meets the crash test and evaluation criteria of the AASHTO's MASH. Therefore, the device is eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program if installed under the range of tested conditions.

Name of system: Gibraltar Global TL-3 Cable Barrier System, 4H:1V Slope Type of system: Longitudinal Barrier Test Level: MASH Test Level 3 (TL3) Testing conducted by: Applus IDIADA KARCO Engineering, LLC. Date of request: May 08, 2020

FHWA concurs with the recommendation of the accredited crash testing laboratory on the attached form.

### Full Description of the Eligible Device

The device and supporting documentation, including reports of the crash tests or other testing done, videos of any crash testing, and/or drawings of the device, are described in the attached form.

### **Notice**

This eligibility letter is issued for the subject device as tested. Modifications made to the device are not covered by this letter. Any modifications to this device should be submitted to the user (i.e., state DOT) as per their requirements.

You are expected to supply potential users with sufficient information on design, installation and maintenance requirements to ensure proper performance.

You are expected to certify to potential users that the hardware furnished has the same chemistry, mechanical properties, and geometry as that submitted for review, and that it will meet the test and evaluation criteria of AASHTO's MASH.

Issuance of this letter does not convey property rights of any sort or any exclusive privilege. This letter is based on the premise that information and reports submitted by you are accurate and correct. We reserve the right to modify or revoke this letter if: (1) there are any inaccuracies in the information submitted in support of your request for this letter, (2) the qualification testing was flawed, (3) in-service performance or other information reveals safety problems, (4) the system is significantly different from the version that was crash tested, or (5) any other information indicates that the letter was issued in error or otherwise does not reflect full and complete information about the crashworthiness of the system.

#### **Standard Provisions**

- To prevent misunderstanding by others, this letter of eligibility designated as FHWA control number B-340 shall not be reproduced except in full. This letter and the test documentation upon which it is based are public information. All such letters and documentation may be reviewed upon request.
- This letter shall not be construed as authorization or consent by the FHWA to use, manufacture, or sell any patented system for which the applicant is not the patent holder.
- This FHWA eligibility letter is not an expression of any Agency view, position, or determination of validity, scope, or ownership of any intellectual property rights to a specific device or design. Further, this letter does not impute any distribution or licensing rights to the requester. This FHWA eligibility letter determination is made based solely on the crash-testing information submitted by the requester. The FHWA reserves the right to review and revoke an earlier eligibility determination after receipt of subsequent information related to crash testing.

Sincerely,

Michael S. Fiffith

Michael S. Griffith Director, Office of Safety Technologies Office of Safety

Enclosures

Version 10.0F (03/16) Page 1 of 9

### Request for Federal Aid Reimbursement Eligibility of Highway Flexible Barriers

	Date of Request:	July 15, 2020		New	○ Resubmission
	Name:	Bruno Haesbaert			
tter	Company:	Applus IDIADA KARCOEngineering, LLC.			
omit	Address:	9270 Holly Road, Adelanto, CA 92301			
Suł	Country:	United States of America			
	To:	Michael S. Griffith, Director FHWA, Office of Safety Technologies			

I request the following devices be considered eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program.

Device & Testing C	Criterion !-!-!		! -	! - !	
System Type	Barrier Placement in V-Ditch S:Single Barrier; D: Double Barrier SBP:Slope Break Point	Submission Type	Device Name / Variant	Testing Criterion	Test Level
'B': Flexible Barriers (	Ro <u>SorD: 0to4ft. Offset SBP 4H:1V</u>	Physical Testing	Gibraltar Global TL-3 Cable Barrier System	AASHTO MASH	TL3

By submitting this request for review and evaluation by the Federal Highway Administration, I certify that the product(s) was (were) tested in conformity with the AASHTO Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware and that the evaluation results meet the appropriate evaluation criteria in the MASH.

### Individual or Organization responsible for the product:

Contact Name:	Ron Faulkenberry	Same asSubmitter
Company Name:	Gibraltar Global LLC	Same asSubmitter
Address:	1208 Houston Clinton Drive, Burnet, Texas 78611	Same asSubmitter
Country:	United States of America	Same asSubmitter
Enter below all di Reimbursement B	sclosures of financial interests as required by the FHWA Eligibility Process for Safety Hardware Devices' document	`Federal-Aid :.
Gibraltar Global, LLC the two organizatio	C. and Applus IDIADA Karco Engineering, LLC. share no (\$0.00) fir ns. This includes no (\$0.00) financial interest but not limited to	nancial interests between :
i. Compensation, inc valuesare not neede ii. Consulting relatior iii. Research funding	luding wages, salaries, commissions, professional fees, or fees for bued); hships; or other forms of research support;	usiness referrals (dollar
iv. Patents, copyrights, and other intellectual property interests; v. Licenses or contractual relationships; or		

vi. Business ownership and investment interest

Version 10.0F (03/16) Page 2 of 9 Help

### PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

New Hardwareor
 Significant Modification

The Gibraltar Global TL-3 Cable Barrier System is a high tension 4-cable longitudinal barrier. The barrier consists of four (4) 0.75 in. (19 mm) steel cables, C-section steel posts, steel sockets, aluminum hair pinsand steel lock plates. The C-section posts were placed on alternating sides of the cablesand aluminum hair pins held the cables in place. The top two (2) cables of the system were stitched together making the cablesalternate in the top hairpin location. The hair pins held the cablesat 20.0 in (508 mm), 30.0 in (762 mm) and 39.0 in. (991 mm) above grade. The cable barrier system was terminated on both ends with Gibraltar end terminals. The total astested length was 613.7 ft. (187.1 m) long. As recommended in MASH the cable tension wasset to the recommended tension at 100 degrees Fahrenheit. The cables were tensioned to 4200 lbs (18.7 kN). The post spacings used for this test series were as follows:

- Flat Terrain narrowest: 7.0 ft. (2.1 m)

- Flat Terrain widest: 21.0 ft. (6.4 m)

- 4h:1VDitch narrowest: 7.0 ft. (2.1 m)

- 4h:1VDitch widest: 16.0ft. (4.9m).

Test 4-10 and 4-11 were tested on flat terrain and were run asa part of the TL-4 submittal for letter B-316. Test 3-10 and 3-11 were tested on flat terrain. Tests 3-13, 3-14, 3-16, 3-17, and 3-18 were tested in a 46 ft. wide 4H:1V V-ditch. The road surface of the ditch was a minimum of 6 in. deep compacted AASHTOM147-65 soil. The post sockets were embedded in 12 in, diameter by 36 in, deep concrete foundations with a minimum compressive strength of 2500 psi. Tests 3-13, 3-14, and 3-17 were positioned on the front slope while 3-16 and 3-18 were positioned on the back slope.

Gibraltar also offers various post and socket optionssuch as concrete socket foundations with steel or plastic sockets, driven steel sockets, and direct driven posts. Other options include swaged and wedge-type fittings which were installed and crash tested. Pre-stretched and non pre-stretched cable are permissible.

There was one modification made during the testing of the Gibraltar Global TL-34 Cable Barrier System during the MASH test program. Tests 3-11, 3-17, and 3-18 use the widest post spacing configuration. Test 3-11 used 21.0 ft. (6.4 m) and 3-17 used 18.0 ft. (5.5 m) post spacing. For Test 3-18, the post spacing for the line posts was reduced from 18.0 ft. (5.5 m) to 16.0 ft. (4.9 m). Complete details on the design modification is included in Attachment A to this submission and in the complete test reports.

A brief description of each crash test and its result:

Help

Required Test	Narrative	Evaluation
Number	Description	Results
3-10 (1100C)	Test 4-10 is the same as Test 3-10 and was run asa part of the TL-4 submittal for letter B-316. Therefore, Test 3-10 was not re-run, but the same information was used for this submittal. Applus IDIADA KARCOEngineering Project number P37379-01 was conducted with an 1100C test vehicle impacting the system midspan between postsat a nominal velocity and angle of 62 mph and 25 degrees, respectively. As recommend by MASH 2016 the narrowest allowable post spacing of 7.0 ft. (2.1 m) was used. The test vehicle, a 2011 KiaRio weighing 2,427.2 lbs (1,101.0 kg) impacted the system at aspeed and angle of 62.38 mph (100.39 km/h) and 25.1 degrees, respectively. The system redirected the vehicle and had a maximum working width of 7.6 ft. (2.3 m). The test vehicle sustained moderate damage. There was no potential for the article to penetrate the vehicle and the occupant compartment deformation limits were not exceeded. The Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) and ridedown accelerations are within the recommended limits.	PASS

#### Version 10.0F (03/16) Page 5 of 9

Required Test Number	Narrative Description	Evaluation Results
	As recommend by MASH 2016 the narrowest allowable post spacing of 7.0 ft. (2.1 m) and the widest allowable post spacing of 21.0 ft. (6.4 m) was tested with the 2270P test vehicle.	
	Test 4-11 is the same as Test 3-11 and was run asa part of the TL-4 submittal for letter B-316. Therefore, Test 3-11 was not re-run, but the same information was used for this submittal. Both tests referenced here were part of the TL-4 submittal for letter B-316.	
	Applus IDIADA KARCOEngineering Project number P37358-01 was conducted with a 2270P test vehicle impacting the system 1.0 ft. (0.3 m) upstream of a post with the narrowest allowable post spacing of 7.0 ft. (2.1 m) at a nominal velocity and angle of 62 mph and 25 degrees, respectively. The test vehicle, a 2013 Chevrolet Silverado weighing 5,011.0 lbs (2,273.0 kg) impacted the system at aspeed and angle of 60.93 mph (98.06 km/h) and 25.3 degrees.	
3-11 (2270P)	respectively. The system redirected the vehicle and had a maximum working width of 7.9 ft. (2.4 m). The test vehicle sustained moderate damage. There was no potential for the article to penetrate the vehicle and the occupant compartment deformation limits were not exceeded. The Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) and ridedown accelerations are within the recommended limits.	PASS
	Applus IDIADA KARCOEngineering Project number P37359-01 was conducted with a 2270P test vehicle impacting the system 1.0 ft. (0.3 m) upstream of a post with the widest allowable post spacing of 21.0 ft (6.4 m) at a nominal velocity and angle of 62 mph and 25 degrees, respectively. The test vehicle, a 2013 Chevrolet Silverado weighing 5,028.7 lbs (2,281.0 kg) impacted the system at aspeed and angle of 61.78 mph (99.43 km/h) and 25.1 degrees, respectively. The system redirected the	
	vehicle and had a maximum working width of 13.8 ft. (4.2 m). The test vehicle sustained moderate damage. There was no potential for the article to penetrate the vehicle and the occupant compartment deformation limits were not exceeded. The Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) and ridedown accelerations are within the recommended limits.	

### Version 10.0F (03/16) Page 6 of 9

	Applus IDIADA KARCOEngineering Project number P38018-01 was conducted with a	
	a nominal velocity and angle of 62 mph and 25 degrees, respectively. The system was	
	installed 4 ft. from the front SBP of a 46 ft.	
	Wide 4H:1V V-ditch. As recommend by	
	spacing of 7.0 ft. (2.1 m) was used.	
3-13 (2270P)	The test vehicle, a 2012 Chevrolet Silverado 1500 with a test inertial weight of 5,026.5 lbs (2,280.0 kg) impacted the system at a	PASS
	speed and angle of 63.31 mph (101.89 km/	
	system redirected the vehicle and had a	
	maximum working width of 12.5 ft. (3.8 m).	
	The test vehicle sustained moderate	
	damage. There was no potential for the	
	occupant compartment deformation limits	
	were not exceeded. The Occupant Impact	
	Velocities (OIV) and ridedown accelerations	
	are within the recommended limits.	
	Applus IDIADA KARCOEngineering Project	
	1100C test vehicle impacting the system at	
	a nominal velocity and angle of 62 mph and	
	25 degrees, respectively. The system was	
	installed 4 ft. from the front SBP of a 46 ft.	
	wide 4H:1V V-ditch. As recommend by	
	MASH 2016 the narrowest allowable post	
	spacing of 7.0 n. (2. rm) was used.	
	The test vehicle, a 2012 KiaRio with a test	
3-14 (1100C)	inertial weight of 2,428.4 lbs (1,101.5 kg)	PASS
,	Impacted the system at aspeed and angle	
	degrees respectively. The system redirected	
	the vehicle and had a maximum working	
	width of 5.5 ft. (1.7 m). The test vehicle	
	sustained moderate damage. There was no	
	potential for the article to penetrate the	
	vehicle and the occupant compartment	
	Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) and	
	ridedown accelerations are within the	
	recommended limits.	
	Per MASH2016 this test is not applicable for	
	V-ditches greater than or equal to 26 ft,	
0.45 (44000)	measured from the front SBP to the back	Neg Delevent Test net so la tel
3-15 (1100C)	SBP. I his test isalso not necessary for	Non-Relevant lest, not conducted
do	median ditch one on each side and 0 to 4 ft	
	from aSBP.	

#### Version 10.0F (03/16) Page 7 of 9

	Applus IDIADA KARCOEngineering Project number P39320-01 was conducted with an 1100C test vehicle impacting the system at a nominal velocity and angle of 62 mph and 25 degrees, respectively. The system was installed 4 ft. from the back SBP of a 46 ft. wide 4H:1V V-ditch. As recommend by MASH 2016 the narrowest allowable post spacing of 7.0 ft. (2.1 m) was used.	
3-16 (2270P)	The test vehicle, a 2009 KiaRio with a test inertial weight of 2,431.7 lbs (1,103.0 kg) entering the ditch at aspeed and angle of 61.91 mph (99.63 km/h) and 25.0 degrees, respectively. The system redirected the vehicle and had a maximum working width of 3.0 ft (0.9 m). The test vehicle sustained damage to the front end. There was no potential for the article to penetrate the vehicle and the occupant compartment deformation limits were not exceeded. The Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) and ridedown accelerations are within the recommended limits.	PASS
	Applus IDIADA KARCOEngineering Project number P38113-02 was conducted with a 1500A test vehicle impacting the system at a nominal velocity and angle of 62 mph and 25 degrees, respectively. The system was installed 2 ft. from the front SBP of a 46 ft. wide 4H:1VV-ditch. With the system offset 2 ft. from the SBP the vehicle had the highest propensity to penetrate the system. As recommend by MASH 2016 the widest allowable post spacing of 18.0 ft. (5.5 m) was used.	
3-17 (1500A)	The test vehicle, a 2012 Chevrolet Malibu with a test inertial weight of 3,244.0 lbs (1,471.5 kg) impacted the system at aspeed and angle of 64.73 mph (104.17 km/h) and 24.6 degrees, respectively. The system redirected the vehicle and had a maximum working width of 13.5 ft. (4.1 m). The test vehicle sustained moderate damage. There was no potential for the article to penetrate the vehicle and the occupant compartment deformation limits were not exceeded. The Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) and ridedown accelerations are within the recommended limits.	PASS

#### Version 10.0F (03/16) Page 8 of 9

			l age e el e
3-18 (2270P)	Applus IDIADA KARCOEngineering Project number P40079-01 was conducted with an 2270P test vehicle impacting the system at a nominal velocity and angle of 62 mph and 25 degrees, respectively. The system was installed 8 ft. from the back SBP of a 46 ft. wide 4H:1V V-ditch. As recommend by MASH 2016 the widest allowable post spacing of 16.0 ft. (4.9 m) was used. The test vehicle, a 2016 Chevrolet Silverado with a test inertial weight of 5,011.0 lbs (2,273.0 kg) entering the ditch at aspeed and angle of 62.92 mph (101.26 km/h) and 25.1 degrees, respectively. The system redirected the vehicle and had a maximum working width of 15.0 ft (4.6 m). The test vehicle sustained damage to the front end. There was no potential for the article to penetrate the vehicle and the occupant compartment deformation limits were not exceeded. The Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) and ridedown accelerations are within	PASS	
	(OIV) and ridedown accelerations are within the recommended limits.		

Full Scale Crash Testing was done in compliance with MASH by the following accredited crash test laboratory (cite the laboratory's accreditation status as noted in the crash test reports.):

Laboratory Name:	KARCOEngineering, INC	
LaboratorySignature:	Bruno Haesbaert	ed by Bruno Haesbaert )7.15 15:58:04 -07'00'
Address:	9270 Holly Road, Adelanto, CA 92301	Same asSubmitter
Country:	United States of America	Same asSubmitter
Accreditation Certificate Number and Dates of current Accreditation period :	TL-371: July 2019 - July 2022	

Submitter Signature\*: Bruno Haesbaert Date: 2020.07.15 15:58:15 -07'00'

Submit Form

### ATTACHMENTS

Attach to this form:

- 1) Additional disclosures of related financial interest as indicated above.
- 2) A copy of the full test report, video, and a Test Data Summary Sheet for each test conducted in support of this request.
- 3) A drawing or drawings of the device(s) that conform to the Task Force-13 Drawing Specifications [Hardware Guide Drawing Standards]. For proprietary products, a single isometric line drawing is usually acceptable to illustrate the product, with detailed specifications, intended use, and contact information provided on the reverse. Additional drawings (not in TF-13 format) showing details that are relevant to understanding the dimensions and performance of the device should also be submitted to facilitate our review.

#### FHWA Official Business Only:

Eligi	bility Letter	
Number	Date	Key Words

### MASH Test 4-10 Summary









0.600 s



0.000 s

0.200 s

0.400 s





GENERAL INFORMATION	
Test Agency	Applus IDIADA KARCO

KARCO Test No	P3791-01
Test Designation	4-10
Test Date	12/06/17
TEST ARTICLE	
Name / Model	TL-4 Cable Barrier System
	Longitudinal Barrier

	Eorigitaania Barrior
Installation Length	597.7 ft. (182.2 m)
Post Spacing	7.0 ft. (2.1 m)
Key Elements	Cable, Hair Pins, Lock Plates
Road Surface	Concrete and Soil
Type / Designation	1100C
Year, Make, and Model	2011 Kia Rio
Curb Mass	. 2,489.0 lbs (1,129.0 kg)
Test Inertial Mass	2,427.2 lbs (1,101.0 kg)
Gross Static Mass	2,621.3 lbs (1,189.0 kg)

Figure 3 Summary of Test 4-10

n	npact Conditions	
	Impact Velocity	62.38 mph (100.39 km/h)
	Impact Angle	25.1°
	Location / Orientation	3.5 ft. (1.1 m) upstream of Post 42
	Impact Severity	56.8 kip-ft (77.0 kJ)

#### Exit Conditions

Exit Velocity
Exit Angle
Final Vehicle Position 236.8 ft. (72.2 m ) Downstream
32.4 ft. (9.9 m) Right
Exit Box Criterion Exited within exit box
Vehicle Snagging Satisfactory
Vehicle Pocketing Satisfactory
Maximum Roll Angle 23.4°
Maximum Pitch Angle8.3°
Maximum Yaw Angle30.0°

<u>Occupant Risk</u>	
Longitudinal OIV	. 15.7 ft/s (4.8 m/s)
Lateral OIV	. 13.5 ft/s (4.1 m/s)
Longitudinal RA	3.8 g
Lateral RA	. 5.2 g
THIV	23.3 ft/s (7.1 m/s)
PHD	. 5.5 g
ASI	0.50
Test Article Deflections	
Static	N/A
Dynamic	78.5 in. (2.0 m)
Working Width	90.9 in. (2.3 m)
Debris Field	. 13.0 ft. (4.0 m)
	Field Side
Vehicle Damage	
Vehicle Damage Scale	. 11LFQ6
CDC	. 11LYAK8
Maximum Intrusion	. 1 0 in (25 mm)

### MASH Test 4-11 Summary



0.000 s

0.200 s

0.300 s

0.500 s

0.700 s



GENERAL INFORMATION	Impact Conditions	Occupant Risk
Test Agency Applus IDIADA KARCO	Impact Velocity	Longitudinal OIV 9.2 ft/s (2.8 m/s)
KARCO Test No P37358-01	Impact Angle	Lateral OIV 12.1 ft/s (3.7 m/s)
Test Designation	Location / Orientation 1.5 in. upstream from Post 42	Longitudinal RA4.0 g
Test Date 12/07/18	Impact Severity	Lateral RA
		THIV
TEST ARTICLE	Exit Conditions	PHD 5.3 g
Name / Model TL-4 Cable Barrier System	Exit Velocity	ASI0.41
Type Longitudinal Barrier	Exit Angle 6.2°	
Installation Length 597.7 ft. (182.2 m)	Final Vehicle Position 256.6 ft. (78.2 m ) Downstream	Test Article Deflections
Post Spacing	3.1 ft. (0.9 m) Right	Static 0.5 ft. (0.2 m)
Key Elements Cable, Hair Pins, Lock Plates	Exit Box Criterion Exited within exit box	Dynamic
Road Surface Concrete and soil	Vehicle Snagging None	Working Width7 9 ft. (2.4 m)
TEST VEHICLE	Vehicle PocketingNone	Debris Field 10.0 ft. (3.0 m) Field
Type / Designation 2270P	Maximum Roll Angle 5.4 °	side
Year, Make, and Model 2013 Chevrolet Silverado 1500	Maximum Pitch Angle3.6 °	Vehicle Damage
Curb Mass 5,261.2 lbs (2,386.5 kg)	Maximum Yaw Angle26.3 °	Vehicle Damage Scale 11-LFQ-3
Test Inertial Mass 5,011.0 lbs (2,273.0 kg)		CDC 11LYEW2
Gross Static Mass 5,011.0 lbs (2,273.0 kg)		Maximum Intrusion 0.5 in. (13 mm)

Figure 3 Summary of Test 4-11

### MASH Test 4-11 Summary



0.000 s

0.200 s

0.400 s

0.600 s

0.800 s



GENERAL INFORMATION	Impact Conditions	Occupant Risk
Test Agency Applus IDIADA KARCO	Impact Velocity	Longitudinal OIV 8.5 ft/s (2.6 m/s)
KARCO Test No P37359-01	Impact Angle25.1°	Lateral OIV 9.5 ft/s (2.9 m/s)
Test Designation 4-11	Location / Orientation 11.5 in. (292 mm) upstream from post 17	Longitudinal RA2.6 g
Test Date 12/07/18	Impact Severity	Lateral RA 3.4 g
		THIV13.1 ft/s (4.0 m/s)
TEST ARTICLE	Exit Conditions	PHD 3.7 g
Name / Model TL-4 Cable Barrier System	Exit Velocity 47.40 mph (76.28 km/h)	ASI0.31
Type Longitudinal Barrier	Exit Angle	
Installation Length 597.7 ft. (182.2 m)	Final Vehicle Position 315.3 ft. (96.1 m) Downstream	Test Article Deflections
Post Spacing 21.0 ft. (6.4 m)	0.7 ft. (0.2 m) Traffic side	StaticN/A
Key Elements Cable, Hair Pins, Lock Plates	Exit Box Criteria Met Yes	Dynamic 13.8 ft. (4.2 m)
Road Surface Concrete and Soil	Vehicle Snagging Satisfactory	Working Width 13.8 ft. (4.2 m)
TEST VEHICLE	Vehicle Pocketing Satisfactory	Debris (lateral) 14.5 ft. (4.4 m)
Type / Designation2270P	Maximum Roll Angle3.1 °	Vehicle Damage*
Year, Make, and Model 2013 Chevrolet Silverado 1500	Maximum Pitch Angle2.9 °	Vehicle Damage Scale 11-LFQ-3
Curb Mass 5,067.2 lbs (2,298.5 kg)	Maximum Yaw Angle25.7 °	CDC 11LFEN2
Test Inertial Mass5,028.7 lbs (2,281.0 kg)		Maximum Intrusion none
Gross Static Mass 5,028.7 lbs (2,281.0 kg)		*Vehicle damaged assessed before secondary impact.

Figure 4 Summary of Test 4-11

### MASH Test 3-13 Summary





0.300 s

0.700 s



1.400 s





GENERAL INFORMATION	Impact Conditions	Occupant Risk
Test Agency Applus IDIADA KARCO Engineering	Impact Velocity	Longitudinal OIV 5.9 ft/s (1.8 m/s)
Test No P38018-01	Impact Angle	Lateral OIV 6.6 ft/s (2.0 m/s)
Test Designation 3-13	Target Location 1.0 ft. upstream of post no. 41	Longitudinal RA2.6 g
Test Date 03/20/18		Lateral RA 2.5 g
	Impact Severity 126.7 kip-ft (171.7 kJ)	THIV
TEST ARTICLE		PHD 2.9 g
Name / Model TL-4 Cable Barrier System	Exit Conditions	ASI0.24
Type Longitudinal Barrier	Exit VelocityN/A	
Installation Length 613.7 ft. (187.1 m)	Exit AngleN/A	Test Article Deflections
Key Elements Cable, Hair Pins, Lock Plates	Final Vehicle Position 220.1 ft. (67.1 m)	Static 2.1 ft (0.6 m)
Road Surface AASHTO M147-65 Grade B	25.5 ft. (7.8 m)	Dynamic 11.0 ft (3.4 m)
Post Spacing 7.0 ft. (2.1 m)	Exit Box Criteria Met N/A	Working Width 12.5 ft (3.8 m)
	Vehicle Snagging None	Debris Field Lateral
TEST VEHICLE	Vehicle Pocketing Satisfactory	
Type / Designation 2270P	Maximum Roll Angle*111.6 °	Vehicle Damage
Year, Make, and Model 2012 Chevrolet Silverado 1500	Maximum Pitch Angle 16.1 °	Vehicle Damage Scale 11-LFQ-3
Curb Mass 5,134.5 lbs (2,329.0 kg)	Maximum Yaw Angle15.1 °	CDC 11LYEW2
Test Inertial Mass 5,026.5 lbs (2,280.0 kg)	*Channel malfunction	Maximum Intrusion 0.25 in. (6.4 mm)

Figure 3 Summary of Test 3-13

Gross Static Mass...... 5,026.5 lbs (2,280.0 kg)
# MASH Test 3-14 Summary





		-
GENERAL INFORMATION	Impact Conditions	Occupant Risk
Test Agency Applus IDIADA KARCO Engineering	Impact Velocity 60.97 mph (98.12 km/h)	Longitudinal OIV 10.8 ft/s (3.3 m/s)
Test No P38112-01	Impact Angle25.3°	Lateral OIV 14.1 ft/s (4.3 m/s)
Test Designation 3-14	Location / Orientation Midspan between posts	Longitudinal RA4.9 g
Test Date	Impact Severity	Lateral RA
	- protect of g	THIV
TEST ARTICLE		PHD 77g
Name / Model TI -4 Cable Barrier System	Exit Conditions	ASI 0.64
	Exit Velocity Vehicle did not exit	
Installation   ength 613.7 ft (187.1 m)		Test Article Deflections
Key Elemente	Einel Vehiele Desition 124.0 ft (27.9 m) Deuretreem	Statio 0.5 ft (0.2 m)
Rey Elements		512110000000000000000000000000000000
Road Surface AASHTO MI147-05 Glade B		Dynamic
Post Spacing 7.0 ft. (2.1 m)	Exit Box Criteria Met N/A	Working Width 5.5 ft (1.7 m)
	Vehicle Snagging None	Debris (lateral) 27.0 ft. (8.2 m)
TEST VEHICLE	Vehicle Pocketing Satisfactory	
Type / Designation 1100C	Maximum Roll Angle	Vehicle Damage
Year, Make, and Model2012 Kia Rio	Maximum Pitch Angle 16.6 °	Vehicle Damage Scale 11-LFQ-4
Curb Mass 2,401.9 lbs (1,089.5 kg)	Maximum Yaw Angle55.0 °	CDC 11LYAW3
Test Inertial Mass		Maximum Intrusion 0.4 in. (10 mm)
Gross Static Mass 2,599.2 lbs (1,179.0 kg)		

Figure 3 Summary of Test 3-14

# MASH 2016 Test 3-16 Summary



0.000 s

0.140 s

0.280 s

0.420 s

2.300 s

3.260 s



	Impact Conditions	Occupant Risk
Test Agency Applus IDIADA KARCO	Impact Velocity	Longitudinal OIV 0.7 ft/s (0.2 m/s)
Test No P39320-01	Impact Angle 25.0°	Lateral OIV
Test Designation	Location / Orientation	Longitudinal RA11.2 g
Test Date	Impact Severity 55.6 kip-ft (75.4 kJ)	Lateral RA 5.1 g
		THIV
TEST ARTICLE	Exit Conditions	PHD 11.4 g
Name / Model TL-4 Cable Barrier System	Exit Velocity	ASI
Type Longitidinal Barrier	Exit Angle	
Installation Length	Final Vehicle Position 39.5 ft. (12 m) Downstream	Test Article Deflections
Key Elements Cable, Hair Pins, Lockplates	4.5 ft. (1.4 m) Right	Static
Road Surface AASHTO M147-65 Grade B	Exit Box Criteria Met Yes	Dynamic
Post Spacing	Vehicle Snagging Satisfactory	Working Width 3.0 ft (0.9 m)
	Vehicle Pocketing Satisfactory	Debris Field No debris field
TEST VEHICLE	Vehicle StabilitySatisfactory	
Type / Designation 1100C	Maximum Roll Angle69.4 °	Vehicle Damage
Year, Make, and Model 2009 Kia Rio	Maximum Pitch Angle50.5 °	Vehicle Damage Scale 11-LFQ-1
Curb Mass	Maximum Yaw Angle44.3 °	CDC 11FDEK1 and 11LFES1
Test Inertial Mass 2,431.7 lbs (1,103.0 kg)		Maximum Intrusion 0.4 in. (10 mm) at toepan

Figure 2 Summary of Test 3-16

# MASH Test 3-17 Summary





GENERAL INFORMATION	Impact Conditions	Occupant Risk
Test Agency Applus IDIADA KARCO Engineering	Impact Velocity 64.73 mph (104.17 km/h)	Longitudinal OIV 11.2 ft/s (3.4 m/s)
Test No P38113-02	Impact Angle	Lateral OIV 17.1 ft/s (5.2 m/s)
Test Designation 3-17	Location / Orientation Midspan between posts	Longitudinal RA2.3 g
Test Date 04/30/18	Impact Severity	Lateral RA4.0 g
		THIV
TEST ARTICLE	Exit Conditions	PHD
Name / Model TL-4 Cable Barrier Sytem	Exit Velocity	ASI
Type	Exit Angle	
Installation Length 614.4 ft. (187.3 m)	Final Vehicle Position 374.9 ft. (114.3 m ) Downstream	Test Article Deflections
Key Elements hair pins, lock plate, cable	16.5 ft. (5.0 m) Traffic Side	Static 0.5 ft (0.2 m)
Road Surface AASHTO M147-65 Grade B	Exit Box Criteria Met Yes	Dynamic
Post Spacing	Vehicle Snagging None	Working Width 13.5 ft (4.1 m)
	Vehicle Pocketing Satisfactory	Debris (lateral)
TEST VEHICLE	Maximum Roll Angle29.9 °	
Type / Designation 1500A	Maximum Pitch Angle 15.3 °	Vehicle Damage
Year, Make, and Model 2012 Chevy Malibu	Maximum Yaw Angle 33.7 °	Vehicle Damage Scale 11-LFQ-4
Curb Mass		CDC 11LYEW3
Test Inertial Mass		Maximum Intrusion 0.7 in. (17 mm)

Figure 3 Summary of Test 3-17

Gross Static Mass...... 3,244.0 lbs (1471.5 kg)

# MASH 2016 Test 3-18 Summary





1.175 s

1.255 s

1.335 s

1.655 s

1.815 s

1.975 s



		/	
<b>GENERAL INFORMATION</b>		Impact Conditions	Occupar
Test Agency	Applus IDIADA KARCO	Impact Velocity 62.92 mph (101.26 km/h)	Longit
Test No	P40079-01	Impact Angle 25.1°	Latera
Test Designation	3-18	Location / Orientation 4.0 ft upstream from post 22	Longitu
Test Date	04/23/20	Impact Severity 119.3 kip-ft (161.8 kJ)	Latera
			THIV.
TEST ARTICLE		Exit Conditions	PHD
Name / Model	TL-4 Cable Barrier System	Exit Velocity N/A	ASI
Туре	Longitidinal Barrier	Exit AngleN/A	
Installation Length	598.6 ft. (182.5 m)	Final Vehicle Position 69.8 ft. (21.3 m) Downstream	Test Art
Key Elements	Cable, Hair Pins, Lockplates	7.9 ft. (2.4 m) Left	Static.
Road Surface	AASHTO M147-65 Grade B	Exit Box Criteria Met Yes	Dynam
Post Spacing	16.0 ft. (4.9 m)	Vehicle Snagging Satisfactory	Worki
. 2		Vehicle Pocketing Satisfactory	Debris
TEST VEHICLE		Vehicle Stability Satisfactory	
Type / Designation	2270P	Maximum Roll Angle53.7 °	Vehicle
Year, Make, and Model	2016 Chevrolet Silverado	Maximum Pitch Angle 44.0 °	Vehicle
Curb Mass	5,145.5 lbs (2,334.0 kg)	Maximum Yaw Angle 40.9 °	CDC
Test Inertial Mass	5,011.0 lbs (2,273.0 kg)		- Maxim
Gross Static Mass	5,011.0 lbs (2,273.0 kg)		

### Test Article Deflections

Static	0.3 ft. (0.9 m)
Dynamic	3.1 ft. (0.9 m)
Working Width	15.0 ft (4.6 m)
Debris Field	No debris field

### <u>Vehicle Damage</u>

Vehicle Damage Scale	11-LFQ-1
CDC	11FDEK1 and 11LFES1
Maximum Intrusion	0.7 in. (18 mm) at toepan

Figure 2 Summary of Test 3-18











1. For additional information contact Gibraltar, Inc. at 1-833-715-0810 or

3. The Cable Barrier System shall be installed on shoulders or on medians with slopes of 6:1 or flatter. If installed on slopes steeper than 6:1 up to 4:1 the TL-4 system performs as a TL-3 and Gibraltar must be contacted for various guidelines related to placement. (Max. Post

6.A. For socketed post, continue digging 12" diameter, 15" deep into rock or the required plan depth, whichever comes first.

- 6.B. For driven post, core drill a 4" diameter hole 18" deep into rock or the required plan depth, whichever comes first.
- 6.C. For Anchor post, continue digging 24" diameter, 30" deep into rock or the required plan depth, whichever comes first.

- 9.A. Without mowstrip, 36" Deep x 12" diameter foundations with #3 rebar ring x 8" diameter with two #4 rebar vertical bars
- 9.B. With 4" minimum depth hot mix asphalt, 30" deep x 12" diameter foundations with #3 rebar ring x 8" diameter with two #4 rebar vertical bars 30" long or 30" welded rebar
- 9.C. With 3" minimum depth concrete mowstrip, 24" deep x 12"

MASH TEST	Line Post Spacing
3-10	7'-0"
3-11	7'-0"
3-11	21'-0"
4-12	21'-0"

Cable	Tension
Ch	art*

-10 °F	8600
0 °F	8200
10 °F	7800
20 °F	7400
30 °F	7000
40 °F	6600
50 °F	6200
60 °F	5800
70 °F	5400
80 °F	5000
90 °F	4600
100 °F	4200
110 °F	3800

\*Allowable Deviation from Chart +/- 10%

MASH 4 Cable Tests PROPRIETARY TO GIBRALTAR

**TL-4 4M Cable System Layout** 

Gibraltar Cable Barrier Systems

1-7-2019 BH

Return to Table of Contents



May 15, 2020

1200 New Jersey Ave., SE Washington, D.C. 20590

In Reply Refer To: HSST-1/CC-162

Mr. Ron Faulkenberry Gibraltar Global, LLC. 1208 Houston Clinton Dr Burnet TX 78611 USA

Dear Mr. Faulkenberry:

This letter is in response to your January 30, 2020 request for the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) to review a roadside safety device, hardware, or system for eligibility for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program. This FHWA letter of eligibility is assigned FHWA control number CC-162 and is valid until a subsequent letter is issued by FHWA that expressly references this device.

### **Decision**

The following device is eligible within the length-of-need, with details provided in the form which is attached as an integral part of this letter:

• TL-3 4 Cable End Terminal

### **Scope of this Letter**

To be found eligible for Federal-aid funding, new roadside safety devices should meet the crash test and evaluation criteria contained in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials'(AASHTO) Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH). However, the FHWA, the Department of Transportation, and the United States Government do not regulate the manufacture of roadside safety devices. Eligibility for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program does not establish approval, certification or endorsement of the device for any particular purpose or use.

This letter is not a determination by the FHWA, the Department of Transportation, or the United States Government that a vehicle crash involving the device will result in any particular outcome, nor is it a guarantee of the in-service performance of this device. Proper manufacturing, installation, and maintenance are required in order for this device to function as tested.

This finding of eligibility is limited to the crashworthiness of the system and does not cover other structural features, nor conformity with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices.

### **Eligibility for Reimbursement**

Based solely on a review of crash test results and certifications submitted by the manufacturer, and the crash test laboratory, FHWA agrees that the device described herein meets the crash test and evaluation criteria of the AASHTO's MASH. Therefore, the device is eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program if installed under the range of tested conditions.

Name of system: TL-3 4 Cable End Terminal Type of system: End Terminal Test Level: MASH Test Level 3 (TL3) Testing conducted by: Applus IDIADA KARCO Engineering, LLC. Date of request: January 30, 2020

FHWA concurs with the recommendation of the accredited crash testing laboratory on the attached form.

### Full Description of the Eligible Device

The device and supporting documentation, including reports of the crash tests or other testing done, videos of any crash testing, and/or drawings of the device, are described in the attached form.

### **Notice**

This eligibility letter is issued for the subject device as tested. Modifications made to the device are not covered by this letter. Any modifications to this device should be submitted to the user (i.e., state DOT) as per their requirements.

You are expected to supply potential users with sufficient information on design, installation and maintenance requirements to ensure proper performance.

You are expected to certify to potential users that the hardware furnished has the same chemistry, mechanical properties, and geometry as that submitted for review, and that it will meet the test and evaluation criteria of AASHTO's MASH.

Issuance of this letter does not convey property rights of any sort or any exclusive privilege. This letter is based on the premise that information and reports submitted by you are accurate and correct. We reserve the right to modify or revoke this letter if: (1) there are any inaccuracies in the information submitted in support of your request for this letter, (2) the qualification testing was flawed, (3) in-service performance or other information reveals safety problems, (4) the system is significantly different from the version that was crash tested, or (5) any other information indicates that the letter was issued in error or otherwise does not reflect full and complete information about the crashworthiness of the system.

### **Standard Provisions**

- To prevent misunderstanding by others, this letter of eligibility designated as FHWA control number CC-162 shall not be reproduced except in full. This letter and the test documentation upon which it is based are public information. All such letters and documentation may be reviewed upon request.
- This letter shall not be construed as authorization or consent by the FHWA to use, manufacture, or sell any patented system for which the applicant is not the patent holder.
- This FHWA eligibility letter is not an expression of any Agency view, position, or determination of validity, scope, or ownership of any intellectual property rights to a specific device or design. Further, this letter does not impute any distribution or licensing rights to the requester. This FHWA eligibility letter determination is made based solely on the crash-testing information submitted by the requester. The FHWA reserves the right to review and revoke an earlier eligibility determination after receipt of subsequent information related to crash testing.

Sincerely,

Michael & Fullette

Michael S. Griffith Director, Office of Safety Technologies Office of Safety

Enclosures

Return to Table of Contents

Version 10.0 (05/16) Page 1 of 7

# Request for Federal Aid Reimbursement Eligibility of Highway Safety Hardware

	Date of Request:	January 30, 2020	New	○ Resubmission
	Name:	Steven Matsusaka		
ter	Company:	Applus IDIADA KARCOEngineering, Ll	.C.	
mit	Address:	9270 Holly Rd, Adelanto, CA 92301		
Suk	Country:	United States of America		
	To:	Michael S. Griffith, Director FHWA, Office of Safety Technologies		

I request the following devices be considered eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program.

Device & TestingCriterion -	Enter from right to left starti	ng with Test Level [	!	-!-!
SystemType	SubmissionType	Device Name / Variant	TestingCriterion	Test Level
'CC':CrashCushions,Attenua	<ul> <li>Physical Crash Testing</li> <li>Engineering Analysis</li> </ul>	TL-34CableEnd Terminal	AASHTOMASH	TL3

By submitting this request for review and evaluation by the Federal Highway Administration, I certify that the product(s) was (were) tested in conformity with the AASHTO Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware and that the evaluation results meet the appropriate evaluation criteria in the MASH.

### Individual or Organization responsible for the product:

Contact Name:	Ron Faulkenberry	SameasSubmitter		
CompanyName:	Gibraltar Global, LLC.	SameasSubmitter		
Address:	1208 Houston Clinton Dr, Burnet TX 78611	SameasSubmitter		
Country:	United States of America	SameasSubmitter		
Enter below all disclosures of financial interests as required by the FHWA `Federal-Aid Reimbursement Eligibility Process for Safety Hardware Devices' document.				
Gibraltar Global, LLC. and Applus IDIADA KarcoEngineering, LLC. share no (\$0.00) financial interests between the two organizations. This includes no (\$0.00) financial interest but not limited to:				
i.Compensation, including wages, salaries, commissions, professional fees, or fees for business referrals (dollar valuesare not needed); ii. Consulting relationships;				
iii. Research funding or other forms of research support; iv. Patents, copyrights, and other intellectual property interests;				

v. Licenses or contractual relationships; or

vi. Business ownership and investment interest.

Version 10.0 (05/16) Page 2 of 7

# PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

Help			
• New Hardware or Significant Modification	Modification to Existing Hardware		
The Gibraltar Global TL-34 Cable End Terminal consists of one (1) anchor post assembly, one (1) cable release assembly, two (2) J-bolt posts, and two (2) sockets. The terminal is classified as a gating redirective end terminal designed to be used with the Gibraltar Global 4 cable MASH system. The Gibraltar Global Cable Barrier system can be installed with post spacing ranging from 7.0 ft (2.1 m) to 21.0 ft. (6.4 m), the post spacing used for this test was 7.0 ft (2.1 m) to evaluate vehicle stability and occupant compartment damage. The as-tested terminal had a total length of 27.5 ft. (8.4 m) and the complete installation length was 214.8 ft. (65.5 m). As recommended in MASH the cables were tensioned to the manufacturer's specified tension at 100°F, which was 4200 lbs.			
There was one modification made during the testing of the Gibraltar Global TL-34 Cable End Terminal during the MASH test program. For Tests 30 and 31, the system included a LON line post installed at the end of the terminal section, 7.5 ft. (2.3 m) downstream of the second J-bolt post and 27.5 ft. (8.4 m) from the anchor post. The final system design, as used for Tests 32, 33, 34, 35, and 37b, the LON line post at the downstream end of the terminal was moved to 14.5 ft. downstream from the second J-bolt post. The overall terminal length for both versions of the system was 27.5 ft. (8.4 m). Complete details on the design modification is included in Attachment A to this submission and in the complete test reports.			
	<b>CRASH TESTING</b>		
By signature below, the Engineer affiliated with the testing laboratory, agrees in support of this submission that all of the critical and relevant crash tests for this device listed above were conducted to meet the MASH test criteria. The Engineer has determined that no other crash tests are necessary to determine the device meets the MASH criteria.			
Engineer Name:	Steven Matsusaka		
Engineer Signature: Si			
Address:	9270 Holly Rd, Adelanto, CA 92301	SameasSubmitter	
Country:	United States of America	SameasSubmitter	

A brief description of each crash test and its result: Help

### Version 10.0 (05/16) Page 3 of 7

RequiredTest Number	Narrative Description	Evaluation Results
3-30 (1100C)	Applus IDIADA KARCOTest No. P37410-01. An 1100C test vehicle impacting the terminal end at a nominal speed and angle of 62 mph and 0°, respectively, with the quarter point of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the terminal. This test is primarily intended to evaluate structural adequacy, occupant risk, and vehicle trajectory. A 2013 KiaRio 4-door sedan with a test inertial mass of 2425.0 lbs (1100.0 kg) impacted the terminal at a velocity of 61.48 mph (98.95 km/h) and and angle of 0.4°. The impact activated the cable release post and the vehicle wasallowed to penetrate the system in a controlled manner. The occupant compartment was not penetrated and deformation limits were not exceeded. TheOccupant Impact Velocities (OIV) were 8.9 ft/s (2.7 m/s) and 1.0 ft/s (0.3 m/s) in the x- and y-directions, respectively. The Ridedown Accelerations were -5.6 g and -3.9 g, respectively. TheGibraltar Global TL-34 Cable Terminal met all of the requirements for MASHTest 3-30.	PASS
3-31 (2270P)	Applus IDIADA KARCOTest No. P37411-01. A 2270P test vehicle impacting the terminal end at a nominal speed and angle of 62 mph and 0°, respectively, with the centerline of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the terminal. This test is primarily intended to evaluate structural adequacy, occupant risk, and vehicle trajectory. A 2012 Chevrolet Silverado 1500 4-door pickup truck with a test inertial mass of 4992.3 lbs (2264.5 kg) impacted the terminal at a velocity of 60.11 mph (96.74 km/h) and and angle of 1.1°. The impact activated the cable release post and the vehicle wasallowed to penetrate the system in a controlled manner. The occupant compartment was not penetrated and deformation limits were not exceeded. TheOccupant Impact Velocities (OIV) were 2.6 ft/s (0.8 m/s) and 3.9 ft/s (1.2 m/s) in the x- and y-directions, respectively. The Ridedown Accelerations were -2.0 g and 1.7 g, respectively. The Gibraltar Global TL-3 4 Cable Terminal met all of the requirements for MASHTest 3-31.	PASS

### Version 10.0 (05/16) Page 4 of 7

RequiredTest Number	Narrative Description	Evaluation Results
3-32 (1100C)	Applus IDIADA KARCOTest No. P37403-01. An 1100C test vehicle impacting the terminal end at a nominal speed and angle of 62 mph and 5°, respectively, with the centerline of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the terminal. This test is primarily intended to evaluate structural adequacy, occupant risk, and vehicle trajectory. A 2013 Hyundai Accent 4-door sedan with a test inertial massof 2425.0lbs (1100.0 kg) impacted the terminal at a velocity of 62.53 mph (100.64 km/h) and and angle of 5.3°. The impact activated the cable release post and the vehicle wasallowed to penetrate the system in a controlled manner. The occupant compartment was not penetrated and deformation limits were not exceeded. The Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) were 8.9ft/s (2.7 m/s) and 1.3ft/s (0.4 m/s) in the x- and y-directions, respectively. The Ridedown Accelerations were -4.0 g and -5.0 g, respectively. The Gibraltar Global TL-3 4 Cable Terminal met all of the requirements for MASHTest 3-32.	PASS
3-33 (2270P)	Applus IDIADA KARCO Test No. P38257-01. A 2270P test vehicle impacting the terminal end at a nominal speed and angle of 62 mph and 5°, respectively, with the centerline of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the terminal. This test is primarily intended to evaluate structural adequacy, occupant risk, and vehicle trajectory. A 2012 Chevrolet Silverado 1500 4-door pickup truck with a test inertial mass of 4946.0 lbs (2243.5 kg) impacted the terminal at a velocity of 61.60 mph (99.14 km/h) and and angle of 5.2°. The impact activated the cable release post and the vehicle wasallowed to penetrate the system in a controlled manner. The occupant compartment was not penetrated and deformation limits were not exceeded. The Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) were 0.3ft/s (0.1 m/s) and 3.0ft/s (0.9 m/s) in the x- and y-directions, respectively. The Ridedown Accelerations were -1.4 g and 0.8 g, respectively. The Gibraltar Global TL-3 4 Cable Terminal met all of the requirements for MASHTest 3-33.	PASS

### Version 10.0 (05/16) Page 5 of 7

	Applus IDIADA KARCOTest No. P38333-01.	
	An 1100C test vehicle impacting the	
	terminal at a nominal speed and angle of 62	
	mph and 15°, respectively, with the corner	
	of the vehicle bumper aligned with the	
	Critical Impact Point (CIP) of the Length of	
	Need (LON) of the terminal. This test is	
	primarily intended to evaluate structural	
	adequacy, occupant risk, and vehicle	
	trajectory. A 2013 Kia Rio 4-door sedan with	
	a test inertial mass of 2432.8 lbs (1103.5 kg)	
	impacted the terminal at a velocity of 62.33	
3-34 (11000)	mph (100.31 km/h) and and angle of 15.6°.	DASS
3-34(11000)	The system contained and redirected the	FA00
	vehicle within the exit box and with a	
	Working Width of 3.7 ft. (1.1 m). The	
	occupant compartment was not penetrated	
	and deformation limits were not exceeded.	
	The Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) were	
	10.8 ft/s (3.3 m/s) and 12.1 ft/s (3.7 m/s) in	
	the x- and y-directions, respectively. The	
	Ridedown Accelerations were -6.1 g and	
	-8.0 g, respectively. The Gibraltar Global	
	TL-34 Cable Terminal met all of the	
	requirements for MASH Test 3-34.	
	Applus IDIADA KARCO I est No. P38194-01.	
	A 2270P test venicle impacting the terminal	
	at a nominal speed and angle of 62 mpn	
	and 25, respectively, with the beginning	
	venicle bumper aligned with the beginning	
	Of the Length of Need (LON) of the terminal.	
	this test is primarily intended to evaluate	
	structural adequacy, occupant risk, and	
	Silverade 1500 4 deer niekun truek with a	
	test inertial mass of 5008 8 lbs (2272.0 kg)	
	impacted the terminal at a velocity of 63.23	
	mpb (101 76 km/b) and and angle of 25 $2^{\circ}$	
3-35 (2270P)	The system contained and redirected the	PASS
	vehicle within the exit box and with a	
	Working Width of 97 ft (30 m) The	
	occupant compartment was not penetrated	
	and deformation limits were not exceeded	
	The Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) were	
	39 ft/s (1 2 m/s) and 10 5 ft/s (3 2 m/s) in the	
	x- and y-directions respectively. The	
	Ridedown Accelerations were -3.7 a and 4.4	
	g, respectively. The Gibraltar Global TI -3.4	
	Cable Terminal met all of the requirements	
	for MASHTest 3-35.	
		1

Version 10.0 (05/16) Page 6 of 7

		1 490 0 01 1
3-36 (2270P)	MASHTest Designation 3-36. A 2270P test vehicle impacting the terminal at a nominal impact speed and angle of 62 mph and 25°, respectively, with the corner of the vehicle bumper aligned with the Critical Impact Point (CIP) with respect to the transition to a stiff barrier or backup structure. This test Is primarily intended to evaluate the performance of the terminal when connected to astiff barrier or a backup structure. Asa cable barrier terminal, the Gibraltar Global TL-3 4 Cable Terminal is not designed to be transition into astiff barrier or backup structure and therefore Test 36 is not relevant and was not conducted.	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
3-37 (2270P)	Applus IDIADA KARCOTest No. P38236-01. An 1100C test vehicle impacting the terminal at a nominal speed and angle of 62 mph and 25°, respectively, with the corner of the vehicle bumper aligned with the Critical Impact Point (CIP) of the terminal for reverse direction impacts. This test is primarily intended to evaluate structural adequacy, occupant risk, and vehicle trajectory in a reverse direction impact. A 2012 KiaRio 4-door sedan with a test inertial mass of 2448.2 lbs (1110.5 kg) impacted the terminal at a velocity of 62.53 mph (100.63 km/h) and and angle of 24.9°. Upon impact, cables released and allowed the vehicle to gate through the system in a controlled manner. The occupant compartment was not penetrated and deformation limits were not exceeded. The Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) were 23.3 ft/s (7.1 m/s) and 19.7 ft/s (6.0 m/s) in the x- and y-directions, respectively. The Ridedown Accelerations were -16.0 g and 12.9 g, respectively. TheGibraltar Global TL-3 4 Cable Terminal met all of the requirements for MASHTest 3-37b.	PASS
3-38 (1500A)	MASHTest Designation 3-38. A 1500A test vehicle impacting the terminal end-on at a nominal impact speed and angle of 62 mph and 0°, respectively, with the centerline of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the terminal. This test Is primarily intended to evaluate the performance of astaged attenuator/ terminal when Impacted by a mid-size vehicle. TheGibraltar Global TL-34 Cable Terminal is not astaged device, because the force required to move the Impact head down the rail does not change. Therefore, Test 38 is not relevant and was not conducted.	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted

da.com. c=US

3-40 (1100C)	Test for non-redirective crash cushions, not applicable for terminals.	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
3-41 (2270P)	Test for non-redirective crash cushions, not applicable for terminals.	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
3-42 (1100C)	Test for non-redirective crash cushions, not applicable for terminals.	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
3-43 (2270P)	Test for non-redirective crash cushions, not applicable for terminals.	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
3-44 (2270P)	Test for non-redirective crash cushions, not applicable for terminals.	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
3-45 (1500A)	Test for non-redirective crash cushions, not applicable for terminals.	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted

Full Scale Crash Testing was done in compliance with MASH by the following accredited crash test laboratory (cite the laboratory's accreditation status as noted in the crash test reports.):

Laboratory Name: LaboratorySignature:	Applus IDIADA KARCOEngineering, LLC. Steven Matsusaka	xa, email⊨steven.matsusaka@idiada.com,c=US
	Date:2020.01.1419:07:	54-08'00'
Address:	9270 Holly Rd, Adelanto, CA 92301	SameasSubmitter
Country:	United States of America	SameasSubmitter
Accreditation Certificate Number and Dates of current Accreditation period :	TL-371:July 2019 - July 2022	

SubmitterSignature*	Steven Matsusaka	Digitally signed by Steven DN: cn=Steven Matsusaka, email=steven.matsusaka@ Date: 2020.01.14 19:07:59
	Su	bmit Form

### ATTACHMENTS

Attach to this form:

- 1) Additional disclosures of related financial interest as indicated above.
- 2) A copy of the full test report, video, and a Test Data Summary Sheet for each test conducted in support of this request.
- 3) A drawing or drawings of the device(s) that conform to the Task Force-13 Drawing Specifications [Hardware Guide Drawing Standards]. For proprietary products, a single isometric line drawing is usually acceptable to illustrate the product, with detailed specifications, intended use, and contact information provided on the reverse. Additional drawings (not in TF-13 format) showing details that are relevant to understanding the dimensions and performance of the device should also be submitted to facilitate our review.

### FHWA Official Business Only:

Eligi	bility Letter	
Number	Date	Key Words

# MASH 2016 Test 3-30 Summary



0.000 s

0.100 s

0.200 s

0.300 s





GENERAL INFORMATION	Impact Conditions	Occupant Risk
Test Agency Applus IDIADA KARCO	Impact Velocity 61.48 mph (98.95 km/h)	Longitudinal OIV 8.9 ft/s (2.7 m/s)
Test No P37410-01	Impact Angle	Lateral OIV 1.0 ft/s (0.3 m/s)
Test Designation 3-30	Location / Orientation Offset 16.3 in. (414 mm)	Longitudinal RA5.6 g
Test Date 1/29/18	Kinetic Energy 306.4 kip-ft (415.5 kJ)	Lateral RA3.9 g
		THIV
TEST ARTICLE	Exit Conditions	PHD 5.8 g
Name / Model TL-3 4 Cable Terminal	Exit Velocity Vehicle did not exit	ASI0.21
Type End Terminal	Exit Angle N/A	
Installation Length 214.8 ft. (65.5 m)	Final Vehicle Position 135.4 ft. (41.3 m) Downstream	Test Article Deflections
Terminal Length 27.5 ft. (8.4 m)	4.7 ft. (1.4 m) Left	StaticN/A
Road Surface Compacted Soil	Exit Box Criteria Met N/A	Dynamic
	Vehicle Snagging None	Working Width
TEST VEHICLE	Vehicle PocketingNone	Debris Field 129.4 ft. (39.4 m) Downstream
Type / Designation 1100C	Vehicle Stability Satisfactory	5.3 ft. (1.6 m) Left
Year, Make, and Model 2013 Kia Rio	Maximum Roll Angle 4.0 °	Vehicle Damage
Curb Mass 2,508.8 lbs (1,138.0 kg)	Maximum Pitch Angle1.7 °	Vehicle Damage Scale 12-FD-3
Test Inertial Mass 2,425.0 lbs (1,100.0 kg)	Maximum Yaw Angle 17.8 °	CDC 12FDEW2
Gross Static Mass 2,600.3 lbs (1,179.5 kg)		Maximum Intrusion 0.2 in. (5 mm)

Figure 4 Summary of Test 3-30

# MASH 2016 Test 3-31 Summary



0.000 s

0.100 s

0.200 s



0.500 s



GENERAL INFORMATION	Impact Conditions	Occupant Risk
Test Agency Applus IDIADA KARCO	Impact Velocity 60.11 mph (96.74 km/h)	Longitudinal OIV 2.6 ft/s (0.8 m/s)
Test No P37411-01	Impact Angle 1.1°	Lateral OIV 3.9 ft/s (1.2 m/s)
Test Designation	Location / Orientation 1.4 in (36 mm) Left	Longitudinal RA2.0 g
Test Date 1/29/18	Kinetic Energy 603.0 kip-ft (817.6 kJ)	Lateral RA 1.7 g
		THIV 4.9 ft/s (1.5 m/s)
TEST ARTICLE	Exit Conditions	PHD2.2 g
Name / Model TL-3 4 Cable Terminal	Exit Velocity Vehicle did not exit	ASI0.1
Type Emd Terminal	Exit Angle N/A	
Installation Length 214.8 ft. (65.5 m)	Final Vehicle Position 227.9 ft. (69.5 m) Left	Test Article Deflections
Terminal Length 27.5 ft. (8.4 m)	1.4 ft. (0.4 m) Dow nstream	StaticN/A
Road Surface Compacted Soil	Exit Box Criteria Met N/A	Dynamic 3.3 ft. (1.0 m)
	Vehicle Snagging None	Working Width 3.3 ft. (1.0 m)
TEST VEHICLE	Vehicle Pocketing None	Debris Field Lateral 6.7 ft. (2.0 m)
Type / Designation 2270P	Vehicle StabilitySatisfactory	
Year, Make, and Model 2012 Chevrolet Silverado 1500	Maximum Roll Angle 2.3 °	Vehicle Damage
Curb Mass 4,898.6 lbs (2,222.0 kg)	Maximum Pitch Angle2.5 °	Vehicle Damage Scale 12-FD-2
Test Inertial Mass 4,992.3 lbs (2,264.5 kg)	Maximum Yaw Angle 4.4 °	CDC 12FDEW2
Gross Static Mass 4,992.3 lbs (2,264.5 kg)		Maximum Intrusion 0.3 in. (8 mm)

Figure 4 Summary of Test 3-31

# MASH 2016 Test 3-32 Summary



0.000 s

0.120 s

0.240 s

0.360 s





GENERAL INFORMATION	Impact Conditions	Occupant Risk
Test Agency Applus IDIADA KARCO	Impact Velocity 62.53 mph (100.64 km/h)	Longitudinal OIV 8.9 ft/s (2.7 m/s)
Test No P37403-01	Impact Angle5.3°	Lateral OIV 1.3 ft/s (0.4 m/s)
Test Designation 3-32	Location / Orientation 1.5 in. (38 mm) Right of CL	Longitudinal RA4.0 g
Test Date 2/12/18	Kinetic Energy 317.0 kip-ft (429.8 kJ)	Lateral RA5.0 g
		THIV 8.9 ft/s (2.7 m/s)
TEST ARTICLE	Exit Conditions	PHD 6.3 g
Name / Model TL-3 4 Cable Terminal	Exit Velocity Vehicle did not exit	ASI 0.23
Type End Terminal	Exit AngleN/A	
Installation Length 213.0 ft. (64.9 m)	Final Vehicle Position 136.6 ft. (41.6 m) Downstream	Test Article Deflections
Terminal Length 27.5 ft. (8.4 m)	2.3 ft. (0.7 m) Left	StaticN/A
Road Surface Compacted Soil	Exit Box Criteria Met N/A	Dynamic
	Vehicle Snagging None	Working Width 11.1 ft. (3.4 m)
TEST VEHICLE	Vehicle PocketingNone	Debris Field 119.1 ft. (36.3 m) Downstream
Type / Designation 1100C	Vehicle Stability Satisfactory	14.0 ft. (4.3 m) Left
Year, Make, and Model 2013 Hyundai Accent	Maximum Roll Angle3.6 °	Vehicle Damage
Curb Mass 2,489.0 lbs (1,129.0 kg)	Maximum Pitch Angle6.3 °	Vehicle Damage Scale 12-FR-4
Test Inertial Mass 2,425.0 lbs (1,100.0 kg)	Maximum Yaw Angle12.9 °	CDC 12FZEW2
Gross Static Mass 2,592.6 lbs (1,176.0 kg)		Maximum Intrusion Negligible

Figure 3 Summary of Test 3-32

# MASH 2016 Test 3-33 Summary



Figure 4 Summary of Test 3-33

\* Before secondary impact

# MASH 2016 Test 3-34 Summary

	7		CO/	
0.000 s	0.100 s	0.200 s	0.300 s	0.500 s
•	3 5 7 9 11 13 EXIT BOX 1958 Ft. [5	15 17 19 21 23 25	27 29 31 33 35	37 39 41
GENERAL INFORMATION         Test Agency       App         Test No       P38         Test Designation       3-34         Test Designation       3-34         Test Designation       3-34         Test Designation       3-34         Test Designation	Ius IDIADA KARCO         333-01         4         20/18         3 4 Cable Terminal         .3 ft. (97.0 m)         5 ft. (8.4 m)         npacted soil         0C         3 Kia Rio         54.0 lbs (1,158.5 kg)         20.8 lbs (4,102.5 kg)	tions           elocity	m/h) midspan midspan m/h) midspan m/h) midspan m/h) midspan m/h) midspan m/h) m/h) m/h) m/h) m/h	
Gross Static Mass 2,43	37.8 lbs (1,196.5 kg)	1 1 aw Angle18.0	Maximum Intrusi	on

Figure 3 Summary of Test 3-34

# MASH 2016 Test 3-35 Summary





0.800 s





GENERAL INFORMATION		Impact Conditions	Occupant Risk
Test Agency	Applus IDIADA KARCO	Impact Velocity 63.23 mph (101.76 km/h)	Longitudinal OIV 3.9 ft/s (1.2 m/s)
Test No	P38194-01	Impact Angle	Lateral OIV 10.5 ft/s (3.2 m/s)
Test Designation	3-35	Location / OrientationLON Point	Longitudinal RA3.7 g
Test Date	6/29/18	Impact Severity 121.4 kip-ft (164.5 kJ)	Lateral RA 4.4 g
			THIV 10.8 ft/s (3.3 m/s)
TEST ARTICLE		Exit Conditions	PHD4.4 g
Name / Model	TL-3 4 Cable Terminal	Exit VelocityOut of Camera View	ASI
Туре	End Terminal	Exit AngleN/A	
Installation Length	335.7 ft. (102.3 m)	Final Vehicle Position 240.9 ft. (73.4 m) Downstream	Test Article Deflections
Terminal Length	27.5 ft. (8.4 m)	18.6 ft. (5.7 m) Right	Static
Road Surface	Concrete and compacted soil	Exit Box Criteria Met Yes	Dynamic
		Vehicle Snagging None	Working Width
TEST VEHICLE		Vehicle PocketingNone	Debris Field 172.8 ft. (52.7 m) Downstream
Type / Designation	2270P	Vehicle Stability Satisfactory	37.0 ft. (11.3 m) Field Side
Year, Make, and Model	2012 Chevrolet Silverado 1500	Maximum Roll Angle 11.3 °	Vehicle Damage
Curb Mass	5,049.6 lbs (2,290.5 kg)	Maximum Pitch Angle 3.9 °	Vehicle Damage Scale 11-LFQ-3
Test Inertial Mass	5,008.8 lbs (2,272.0 kg)	Maximum Yaw Angle 24.9 °	CDC11FRMN2
Gross Static Mass	5,008.8 lbs (2,272.0 kg)		Maximum Intrusion Negligible

Figure 4 Summary of Test 3-35

# MASH 2016 Test 3-37b Summary



0.000 s

0.100 s

0.300 s

0.500 s

0.700 s

41	39	9 3	37	35	33	31	29	27	25	23	21	19	17	15	13	11	9	7	5	3 1	
0		•	••		•	• •	•••	• •	•••	••	•••	•••	•••	•••	• •	· • •	•••			16.4 ft. [5.0 n] 107.4 ft. [32.7 n]	

	luces at Canditiana	Occurrent Bick		
GENERAL INFORMATION	Impact Conditions	Occupant Risk		
Test Agency Applus IDIADA KARCO	Impact Velocity 62.53 mph (100.63 km/h)	Longitudinal OIV 23.3 ft/s (7.1 m/s)		
Test No P38236-01	Impact Angle 24.9°	Lateral OIV 19.7 ft/s (6.0 m/s)		
Test Designation 3-37b	Location / Orientation Terminal Post 2	Longitudinal RA16.0 g		
Test Date 9/17/18	Impact Severity 56.7 kip-ft (76.9 kJ)	Lateral RA 12.9 g		
		THIV		
TEST ARTICLE	Exit Conditions	PHD 20.1 g		
Name / Model TL-3 4 Cable Terminal	Exit Velocity	ASI1.39		
Type End Terminal	Exit Angle 3.2°			
Installation Length 314.8 ft. (96 m)	Final Vehicle Position 107.4 ft. (32.7 m) Downstream	Test Article Deflections		
Terminal Length 27.5 ft. (8.4 m)	16.4 ft. (5.0 m) Right	StaticN/A		
Road Surface Compacted soil	Exit Box Criteria Met N/A	Dynamic		
	Vehicle Snagging None	Working Width 16.0 ft. (4.9 m)		
TEST VEHICLE	Vehicle PocketingNone	Debris Field 146.1 ft. (44.5 m) Downstream		
Type / Designation 1100C	Vehicle Stability Satisfactory	32.8 ft. (10 m) Lateral		
Year, Make, and Model 2012 Kia Rio	Maximum Roll Angle7.1 °	Vehicle Damage		
Curb Mass 2,417.3 lbs (1,096.5 kg)	Maximum Pitch Angle3.7 °	Vehicle Damage Scale 01-LFQ-4		
Test Inertial Mass 2,448.2 lbs (1,110.5 kg)	Maximum Yaw Angle62.6 °	CDC		
Gross Static Mass 2,613.5 lbs (1,185.5 kg)		Maximum Intrusion 0.7 in. (18 mm)		

Figure 4 Summary of Test 3-37b











1. For additional information contact Gibraltar, Inc. at 1-833-715-0810 or

3. The Cable Barrier System shall be installed on shoulders or on medians with slopes of 6:1 or flatter. If installed on slopes steeper than 6:1 up to 4:1 the TL-4 system performs as a TL-3 and Gibraltar must be contacted for various guidelines related to placement. (Max. Post

6.A. For socketed post, continue digging 12" diameter, 15" deep into rock or the required plan depth, whichever comes first.

- 6.B. For driven post, core drill a 4" diameter hole 18" deep into rock or the required plan depth, whichever comes first.
- 6.C. For Anchor post, continue digging 24" diameter, 30" deep into rock or the required plan depth, whichever comes first.

- 9.A. Without mowstrip, 36" Deep x 12" diameter foundations with #3 rebar ring x 8" diameter with two #4 rebar vertical bars
- 9.B. With 4" minimum depth hot mix asphalt, 30" deep x 12" diameter foundations with #3 rebar ring x 8" diameter with two #4 rebar vertical bars 30" long or 30" welded rebar
- 9.C. With 3" minimum depth concrete mowstrip, 24" deep x 12"

MASH TEST	Line Post Spacing
3-10	7'-0"
3-11	7'-0"
3-11	21'-0"
4-12	21'-0"

Cable	Tension
Ch	art*

-10 °F	8600
0 °F	8200
10 °F	7800
20 °F	7400
30 °F	7000
40 °F	6600
50 °F	6200
60 °F	5800
70 °F	5400
80 °F	5000
90 °F	4600
100 °F	4200
110 °F	3800

\*Allowable Deviation from Chart +/- 10%

MASH 4 Cable Tests PROPRIETARY TO GIBRALTAR

**TL-4 4M Cable System Layout** 

Gibraltar Cable Barrier Systems

1-7-2019 BH

Return to Table of Contents



# MASH 2016 TL4 CABLE BARRIER SYSTEM

# SYSTEM DRAWINGS

GIBRALTAR CABLE BARRIER SYSTEM 1208 HOUSTON CLINTON DR. BURNET, TEXAS 78611 +1(512) 715-0808 WWW.GIBRALTARGLOBAL.COM

Updated: February 2022



1. For additional information contact Gibraltar, Inc. at 1-833-715-0810 or see the

slopes of 6:1 or flatter. If installed on slopes steeper than 6:1 up to 4:1 the TL-4 system performs as a TL-3 and Gibraltar must be contacted for various

6.A. For socketed post, continue digging 12" diameter, 15" deep into rock or

6.B. For driven post, core drill a 4" diameter hole 18" deep into rock or the

6.C. For Anchor post, continue digging 24" diameter, 30" deep into rock or

7. The Gibraltar cable barrier system shall be installed in standard compacted soil.

9.A. Without mowstrip, 36" Deep x 12" diameter foundations with #3 rebar ring x 8" diameter with two #4 rebar vertical bars 30" long or 30"

9.B. With 4" minimum depth hot mix asphalt, 30" deep x 12" diameter foundations with #3 rebar ring x 8" diameter with two #4 rebar vertical

9.C. With 3" minimum depth concrete mowstrip, 24" deep x 12" diameter

Chart*					
-10 °F	8600				
0 °F	8200				
10 °F	7800				
20 °F	7400				
30 °F	7000				
40 °F	6600				
50 °F	6200				
60 °F	5800				
70 °F	5400				
80 °F	5000				
90 °F	4600				

Cable Tension

\*Allowable Deviation from Chart +/- 10%

4200

3800

**PROPRIETARY TO GIBRALTAR** MASH 2016 TL4

100 °F

110 °F

Gibraltar Cable Barrier Systems				
cale:	Date:			
NTS	8-26-21			
yout:	Drafter:			
ANSI B	BH			








### MASH 2016 TL4 CABLE BARRIER SYSTEM

# RESEARCH

GIBRALTAR CABLE BARRIER SYSTEM 1208 HOUSTON CLINTON DR. BURNET, TEXAS 78611 +1(512) 715-0808 WWW.GIBRALTARGLOBAL.COM

Updated: February 2022



Updated: February 2022



### **RESEARCH STUDIES**

# Safety Evaluation of Cable Median Barriers in Combination with Rumble Strips on Divided Roads U.S. Department of Transportation August 2017

...The results from Missouri for total and injury and fatal crashes were very similar to the combined Illinois and Kentucky results. However, the reduction in cross-median crashes in Missouri was much more dramatic, showing a 96-percent reduction (based on cross-median indicator only) and an 88-percent reduction (based on cross-median indicator plus head-on). The economic analysis for benefit-cost ratios shows that this strategy is cost beneficial.

Read complete study

#### Evaluation of Safety Effectiveness of Median Cable Barriers Installed on Freeways in Ohio University of Dayton August 2018

...Safety effectiveness of Ohio's statewide cable barrierswas found to be 73.9 percent for total crashes, 80.4 percent for fatal and injury (FI) crashes combined and 80.1 percent for fatal, incapacitating, and non-incapacitating injury (KAB) crashes combined.

Read complete study

### Minnesota Department of Transportation July 2017

...Studies of existing cable median barrier installations show dramatic decreases in fatal and serious injuries due to cross median crashes. Cable median barriers can reduce fatal crashes by 95 percent. There are few safety devices available that virtually guarantees consistent success in saving lives every year on divided highways. Since the first installation in 2004, cable median barriers have saved approximately 148 lives in Minnesota.

Read complete study

#### Performance Assessment of Road Barriers in Indiana Joint Transportation Research Program Purdue University

...Median cable barriers were found to be the most effective among all the studied barriers due to the smallest increase in the crash frequency and least severe injuries in barrier-relevant crashes. A cable barrier's offset to the travelled way was also investigated in this study. When considering vehicles moving in one direction, the nearside cable barriers installed at an offset less than or equal to 30 feet performed better than far-side cable barriers with a larger offsets thanks to the better protection they provide for vehicles against rollovers in the median and impact with the median drain. Consequently, the biggest safety benefit can be expected where cables barriers are installed in the median at both edges.

Read complete study

#### Center for Transportation Research and Education Iowa State University May 2018

...This study involved an in-service performance evaluation to assess the efficacy of median cable barrier systems that have been installed in Iowa to date. In addition to examining impacts on traffic crashes, injuries, and fatalities, the study also involved an economic analysis of the cable barrier systems. The results show that median cable barrier systems have significantly reduced the number of fatal and severe injury crashes across the state. While these reductions have been accompanied by significant increases in less severe crashes, particularly property damage-only collisions, the barrier systems have been shown to provide a significant return on investment. The results of this study suggest that further implementation of median cable barrier systems is warranted. As such, installation guidelines are recommended based on various combinations of median width and annual average daily traffic.

Read complete study

#### Study of High-Tension Cable Barriers on Michigan Roadways Wayne State University October 2014

...The Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) began installing high- tension cable median barriers in 2008 and has installed approximately 317 miles of high-tension cable median barrier on state freeways as of September 2013. Given the capital costs required for this installation program, as well as the anticipated annual maintenance and repairs costs, a comprehensive evaluation was conducted in order to ascertain the efficacy of cable barrier systems that have been installed to date. Statistical analyses showed that fatal and incapacitating injury crashes were reduced by 33 percent after cable barrier installation. The analysis also showed the median-crossover crash rate was reduced by 86.8 percent and the rate of rollover crashes was reduced by 50.4 percent.

Read complete study

Return to Table of Contents



### MASH 2016 TL4 CABLE BARRIER SYSTEM

# MEDIA / TESTIMONIALS

GIBRALTAR CABLE BARRIER SYSTEM 1208 HOUSTON CLINTON DR. BURNET, TEXAS 78611 +1 (512) 715-0808 WWW.GIBRALTARGLOBAL.COM

Updated: February 2022





#### UD study: Highway Cable Barriers Prevent most Dangerous Crashes

Dayton Daily News By <u>Chris Stewart</u>, Staff Writer July 6, 2020

Hundreds of miles of median cable barriers on Ohio highways have proven to prevent serious and deadly crashes, a University of Dayton Transportation Engineering Lab study shows.

Just 1.7% of vehicles breached median cable barriers and crashed into oncoming traffic out of 2,209 crashes when a driver hit or crossed the cables during the researchers' study period."

It is staggering to guess what percentage of severe crashes would have been caused if all vehicles in these crash events were able to cross through the median and reach opposing travel lanes, which increases the probability of being involved in head-on type of crashes," the UD researchers Deogratias Eustace and Mohammad Almothaffar wrote in their study.

A Federal Highway Administration analysis of crash data from 2014 to 2016 showed more than half of all fatalities — 53% — were the result of cross-median crashes.

The UD study found about 95% of vehicles in crashes did not breach cable barriers while 2.9% breached the barriers but did not cross into oncoming traffic.

"The intention of putting up those barriers was to prevent the most dangerous type of crashes. I think the barriers have performed as expected," said Eustace, director of the UD Transportation Engineering Lab.

The study also showed barriers stopped motorcycles 100% of the time, passenger cars 96.5% of the time, light trucks 95.5% of the time, medium trucks 88% of the time and heavy trucks 85.9% of the time.

<u>Read the entire article:</u> https://www.daytondailynews.com/news/transportation/study-highway-cable-barriers-prevent-most-dangerous-crashes/eVYhEYOTiDJm6dVMmSbDpL/





December 6, 2019

#### Thank You from Grateful Father

The Michigan Department of Transportation has installed Gibraltar Cable Barriers in several counties throughout the state. The department recently received a thank you note that they shared with Gibraltar:

#### We received this thoughtful and appreciative e-mail from a retired Eaton County sheriff deputy regarding median cable guardrail and a crash on I-96 last week:

"This morning there was a serious (crash) on I-96 in the area of Mt. Hope Highway in which a semi tanker truck went out of control and started to cross the median from the westbound lane toward the eastbound side. It stuck and was stopped by the cable barrier that was in that area closest to the eastbound lanes. While the truck was heavily damaged and rolled up on its side, it was contained by the barrier.

If you look at the photograph leading the story on WLNS-6 web page ... you will see an ECSD SUV on the eastbound side lane. That is where my oldest son Bryan was this morning on the way to work, when the truck hit the wire barrier. He said pieces of the debris from the truck hit him as it happened. He was shaken, considerably, but able to call me after settling down. He told me that the barrier, which we've all grumbled about at times, saved his life as it's certain the truck would have continued into his path and killed him and possibly a number of others, as traffic was heavy at that time.

On behalf of my son, his two kids, and our whole family, we want to tell you how grateful we are that MDOT has invested in the cable barriers. We all thank your organization for the planning and fore-thought that went into the implementation of the cable barriers."

Read complete story

Updated: February 2022

#### System being Installed in Shelby County

### SIDNEY DAILY NEWS JULY 18, 2019

By Kyle Shaner

SIDNEY – Installation of high tension cable barriers along Shelby County highways is almost complete, which the Ohio Department of Transportation expects will save lives.

Jay Winn, a regional sales manager for Gibraltar Cable Barrier Systems in Texas, visited ODOT's Shelby County garage on Wednesday to speak to approximately 35 people including ODOT employees, police officers, emergency medical technicians and tow truck drivers. His presentation informed the attendees about the barriers, how to repair them and offered safety tips for working around them.

"It's a little different than most systems that you see out there but very effective," Winn said of the cable system. The high tension cable barriers are designed to act like a net when a vehicle hits them. Anchors are embedded into the ground and hold tension on cables that catch vehicles after they strike the system.

Read entire article.



#### Cable Barriers in the Median on Michigan Highway Save Lives in Multi-Vehicle Pileup

They look like tuna-netting and tear up cars but Michigan's cable guardrails save lives.

Plunging temperatures and freezing precipitation wreaked havoc on Michigan roads on January 12 (and many other days). Not one, but two eighteen-wheelers jack-knifed on a busy section of I-96 in Ionia County but it was the cable guardrails that saved lives. The posts were toppled but the steel ropes held and the trucks were prevented from crossing the median into oncoming traffic.

Read full article.

Updated: February 2022

#### **Cable Barrier Saves Truckers from Catastrophic Head-on Collision**



A semi truck driver has shared dash cam video of a frightening near miss with another out of control tractor trailer. The dash cam video was captured on I-40 about 60 miles east of Little Rock, Arkansas, on February 19.

In the video, you can see the dash cammer traveling in the left lane of the interstate when a truck head-ing the opposite direction loses control, barrels through the median, and seems just moments from enter-ing oncoming traffic. At the last moment, the oncoming truck driver hits a cable barrier in the median, preventing it from crashing into the dash cammer and other vehicles.

#### **Cable Median Barriers Save Lives**

#### Minot Daily News May 1, 2020

Safety on our roadways is the top priority for the North Dakota Department of Transportation (NDDOT). In 2018 NDDOT launched the Vision Zero safety initiative with a goal of zero motor vehicle fatalities and seri-ous injuries on North Dakota roads. As part of that initiative the NDDOT reviewed crash data and identified lane departure crashes, which includes cross-median crashes, as one of the top crash emphasis areas in the state.

Cross-median crashes occur when a vehicle departs the roadway of a divided highway, crosses the median, and strikes an object or a vehicle traveling in the opposing direction. These types of crashes present the high-est risk of fatal and severe injuries among all collision types on highways. Median-crossover crashes are caused by a variety of factors including: driver distraction, impaired driving, mechanical failure, and loss of vehicle control.

Read entire article

Return to Table of Contents





# MASH 2016 TL4 CABLE BARRIER SYSTEM

# **INSTALLATION GUIDE**

Gibraltar Cable Barrier System 1208 Houston Clinton Dr. Burnet, TX 78611 (512) 715-0808 www.gibraltarglobal.com

[Note to Installer: Refer to Contract Plans and Documents for Specific Details] The Gibraltar Cable Barrier Systems are covered by one or more of the following patents: U.S. Patent No(s).: 7,364,137; 7,398,960; and 7,401,996. Other U.S. and International patents are pending

Version 8.0 Updated: 5/23



### **INSTALLATION GUIDE**

### **Table of Contents**

Welcome System Components Equipment / Tools Required

MASH 16 Checklist

### **System Installation**

### **Below Ground**

Anchor Installation Terminal Post Socket Installation Line Post Socket Installation

### Above Ground

Anchor Terminal Fittings Terminal Above Ground Cable Distribution Cable Interchanging Post Hardware Installation Acorn Wedge Installation

System Repair

### **Technical and Sales Support**





#### Welcome

Welcome to the Gibraltar Cable Barrier System Installation and Maintenance Guide. This guide is for your use when installing Gibraltar's cable barrier system. This installation guide is for standard cable barrier installations.

#### Before You Begin:

Check and confirm packing list contents. Please report any errors or shortages immediately to Gibraltar at: (833) 715-0810 or (512) 715-0808.

#### **System Installation & Components**

Cable Barrier System – Longitudinal Section Layout Cable Barrier System – Terminal Section Layout Cable Interchanging Parts Lists

#### **Equipment/Tools Required**

- 1. Auger (for Socketed Line Post and Terminal Post foundations)
- 2. Auger (Anchor Post foundation)
- 3. Post Driver (for Driven Post option)
- 4. Adjustable Wrench (2)
- 5. Tension Meter
- 6. Utility Trailer (rigged for Wire Rope Spools)
- 7. Flathead Screwdrivers (2)
- 8. 3 Ton (6000 lb.) Chain Hoist (6 Ton hoist may be required in cold climates)
- 9. Cable Grabbers (2)
- 10. Vice Grips (large enough to fit over 3/4in cable) or 3/4in cable clamps
- 11. Thermometer



# GIBRALTAR MASH 2016 INSPECTION CHECKLIST

### TERMINAL SECTION

Ensure the anchor post is centered in the hole on stringline, the anchor plate is set no more than 1" above grade and is set plumb using the cable release post.

Ensure terminal post #1 leans 1  $\frac{1}{4}$ " every 12" out of plumb towards the anchor and the top of the socket is at grade (p. 5).

Ensure the second terminal post socket is set plumb and the top is set at grade. Ensure the terminal posts "open" side is away from the center line (p. 6), and the j-bolts are installed.

Ensure the cables are set in each j-bolt and on the 2nd terminal post, the top cable is resting on the 3rd cable (p. 6)

Ensure all fittings are installed correctly with the wedge correctly installed in the acorn fitting (p. 7 & 12).

### LENGTH OF NEED

Ensure line post sockets are set plumb, with the short side of socket on the stringline and the top is flush with grade. A post can be used to make sure the socket is plumb.

Ensure posts are set in the sockets with the open C is facing the cables, and the hairpin and lockplates are installed in each post.

The posts in the terminal and the next five posts after the terminal must alternate on sides of cable, the remaining posts should alternate throughout the system. In some cases, it is not possible to have all posts on alternating sides of cable, 3 posts on the same side of cable is acceptable in these situations, contact Gibraltar if this occurs more than once in a single run.

Ensure each cable of each run has at least one set of cable splice turnbuckles (CSTB). The turnbuckles should be no greater than 2,000 ft apart. Ensure the CSTBs on the top two cables are separated and are not touching each other.

Ensure the cables are properly installed in each post utilizing the hairpin and lockplate design. The top two cables should alternate being in the top hoop of the hairpin and being set on top of it. There should be no twisting of the cables from post to post. (p. 11)

Ensure the cables are all tensioned within 10% of the tension chart shown on the drawings and are noted in a tension log.



# GIBRALTAR MASH 2016 INSPECTION CHECKLIST

## TERMINAL SECTION

- Ensure the anchor post is centered in the hole on stringline, the anchor plate is set no more than 1" above grade and is set plumb using the cable release post.
- Ensure terminal post #1 leans 1 ¼" every 12" out of plumb towards the anchor and the top of the socket is at grade (p. 5).
  - Ensure the second terminal post socket is set plumb and the top is set at grade.
  - Ensure the terminal posts "open" side is away from the center line (p. 6), and the jbolts are installed.
  - Ensure the cables are set in each j-bolt and on the 2nd terminal post, the top cable is resting on the 3rd cable (p. 6)
  - Ensure all fittings are installed correctly with the wedge correctly installed in the acorn fitting (p. 7 & 12).











# **GIBRALTAR MASH 2016 INSPECTION CHECKLIST**

### LENGTH OF NEED

- Ensure line post sockets are set plumb, with the short side of socket on the stringline and the top is flush with grade. A post can be used to make sure the socket is plumb.
  - Ensure posts are set in the sockets with the open C is facing the cables, and the hairpin and lockplates are installed in each post.
- The posts in the terminal and the next five posts after the terminal must alternate on sides of cable, the remaining posts should alternate throughout the system. In some cases, it is not possible to have all posts on alternating sides of cable, 3 posts on the same side of cable is acceptable in these situations, contact Gibraltar if this occurs more than once in a single run.



- Ensure each cable of each run has at least one set of cable splice turnbuckles (CSTB). The turnbuckles should be no greater than 2,000 ft apart. Ensure the CSTBs on the top two cables are separated and are not touching each other.
  - Ensure the cables are properly installed in each post utilizing the hairpin and lockplate design. The top two cables should alternate being in the top hoop of the hairpin and being set on top of it. There should be no twisting of the cables from post to post. (p. 11)
  - Ensure the cables are all tensioned within 10% of the tension chart shown on the drawings and are noted in a tension log.



### **ANCHOR INSTALLATION**



1) Begin by drilling the appropriate size anchor hole. Install the rebar cage, then fill it with concrete.



2) Set the anchor posts in concrete. The top plate on the anchor posts should be no more than 1" above grade and the post should be installed \_\_\_\_\_ plumb.



3) Set the cable release post (CRP) on top of the anchor post. If the CRP is not plumb than the anchor post should be adjusted so that the CRP is plumbed.





ANCHOR INSTALLATION (CON'T)



# **Critical Points**

- Anchor Post and Cable Release Post should be plumb.
- Anchor Post Plate should be no more that 1" above concrete.
- Install Cable Release Post as shown.





1) Start the first terminal post socket by drilling the appropriate size socket hole and filling it with concrete.

JIBRALTA

ABL

ARRIER

2) Install the socket into the concrete. The socket type may be different than shown. This particular socket is the only socket that is set at an angle.





The socket should be set so that the top of the socket is flush with grade.









4) Be sure the 3-inch side of the socket is flush with the string line.This string line represents the cable line.



5) The last terminal post socket is set plumb in a socket hole which is filled with concrete.



This socket should be installed on the opposite side of the cable of the TP1-4 socket.





6) Be sure the 3-inch side of the socket is flush with the string line.This string line represents the cable line.



7) The last terminal post socket is set plumb in a socket hole which is filled with concrete.



This socket should be installed on the opposite side of the cable of the TP1-4 socket. Be sure the 3-inch side of the socket is flush with the string line.





**TERMINAL POST SOCKET** 

(CON'T)



# **Critical Points**

- Sockets are set on opposite side of cable.
- Short side of socket is on centerline.
- TP1-4 socket is set at an angle 1-1/4" per 12". Socket should lean towards CRP.
- TP4-4 socket is set plumb.

• TP1-4 socket located at 6'-3" from center of anchor post.

• TP4-4 socket located at 13'9" from center of TP1-4 socket.



### LINE POST SOCKET



1) Install a string line representing the cable location for the line post socket installation.



2) Locate the first line post socket at 14'6" from the last terminal post. Noting the socket needs to be on the opposite side of the string line of the TP4-4 post socket hole.



Drill the appropriate size hole with the center of the hole two inches off the string line and fill it with concrete.







3) Install the socket into the concrete. The socket should be set so that the top of the socket is flush with grade and the socket is plumb.
Be sure the 3-inch side of the socket is flush with the string line.
This string line represents the cable line.

GIBRALTA

ABLE

BARRIE

4) A line post may be placed in the socket once the concrete is sent.

The next line post socket should be placed on the opposite side of the stringline at the project line post spacing and repeated towards the middle of the run.

This procedure is the same for the opposite end of the cable run, and post spacing can be adjusted in the middle of the run.







LINE POST SOCKET

(CON'T)



### **Critical Points**

• Sockets are set on opposite side of cable alternating throughout the installation.

• Short side of socket is on the centerline.

• Line post socket is plumb.

• The first line post socket is placed 14'6" from the the TP4-4 post socket and on the opposite side of the string line of the TP4-4 post socket.



### ANCHOR TERMINAL FITTING



1) Connect the four cables to the Anchor Terminal Fittings by inserting the cable ends into the acorn-shaped casting of the cable terminal fittings.

2) Using two flathead screwdrivers, separate the 3 strands of the cable at the end. Place and insert the triangular wedge between the cable strands. The triangular wedge must point toward the cable. The strands will fit in the grooves of the wedge.

3) Remove the screwdrivers and move the acorn up the cable until the wedge and cable are in the acorn as far as they will go.

4) With a hammer and punch, drive the wedge into the cable at least 3/8, but no more than  $\frac{1}{2}$  past the end of the cable.











### ANCHOR TERMINAL FITTING (CON'T)



5) Drive the acorn up onto the cable until the top of the wedge is even with the bottom opening in the acorn.



The 3 strands of cable should be nested in the grooves of the wedge.



6) Place the nut inside the opening of the acorn fitting and thread onto the threaded rod.

7) Connect the anchor terminal fittings with cable attached into the anchor post.





ANCHOR TERMINAL FITTING (CON'T)





**Critical Points** 

- Cable should extend 3/8" to  $\frac{1}{2}$ " past the end of the wedge.
- Top of the wedge should be even with or below the bottom of the opening in the acorn.
- Individual strands of cable should be in the grooves of wedge, and bundles should still be round.
- Install cable release post as shown with 1" plate on bottom and the side with two bars towards TP1-4.



TERMINAL ABOVE GROUND



1) Set the cable release posts on top of the anchor post. Place a TP1-4 post in the first socket after the anchor post. Make sure the holes in the side of the post are towards the cable.

2) Place a TP4-4 post in the second socket after the anchor post, making sure the holes in the side of the post are towards the cable. The two terminal posts should be on opposite sides of the cable. Install the J-bolts into the two terminal posts.

3) Place 4 anchor terminal fittings with the cable attached into the slots of the anchor posts. Cables should be placed into the slot starting with the bottom cable and ending with the top cable. It does not matter which side installation is started on. There should be at least 2" of threads passing the nut on the anchor - terminal fittings.

4) Place four cables into the J-bolts of the terminal post noting the top tables of the TP4-4 post will have two cables resting in it.











TERMINAL ABOVE GROUND (CON'T)



# **Critical Points**

- Correct terminal posts in correct locations.
- Holes in terminal posts should be facing the cable.
- Cables placed in the slots of anchor post starting with the bottom cable.

• 2" of thread past the nut is for ease of installation. Only a full nut of threads is necessary for operation. More than a full nut is acceptable.



### **CABLE DISTRIBUTION**



1) Start by connecting the anchor terminal fittings with cable attached into the anchor post.

2) Once the anchor terminal fittings are placed in the anchor post slots, install an ATF retaining tool. This retainer will keep the ATF fittings from working out of the slots while the cable is distributed.

3) Distribute the cables throughout the run with three cables on the side of posts that the system will be hung from and one cable on the opposite side of the posts.

4) Use a CSTB fitting to connect spools of cable together and remember to place the CSTB near a post so the fitting ends up between posts when the system is tensioned.











### CABLE DISTRIBUTION (CON'T)



5) The fitting for the top cable should be placed near an adjacent post so that the top two fittings are not on top of each other.



6) Once the cable is tensioned, repeat this process until the end of the run is reached and leave the cable ends loose near the anchor post.

7)ATF retaining tool must be removed when crews are not present or not in use.







### CABLE DISTRIBUTION (CON'T)



# **Critical Points**

- Place 3 cables on the side of the posts the cable will be hung from.
- Place 1 cable on the opposite side of the posts the cable will be hung from.
- The top fittings for the top two cables should be offset so the fittings do not sit on top of one another.
- Remove the ATF Retaining Tool when crews are not present or not in use.



### **CABLE INTERCHANGING**



1) Start by placing only the posts where the slot is facing the side of the system the cable will be hung from. Other posts and hardware should be left out of sockets.

 Hang three cables throughout the system utilizing a hairpin and lock plate.
 The fourth cable can remain on the ground.

This process is best performed with a cable hanging device to keep the 3 cables in the correct order.











3) Tension the 3 cables that were hung up to the appropriate tension. At this point the remaining posts can be installed in the sockets.

4) The cables may be hung at this time with a fourth cable installed in the top loop of the hairpin and the third cable will be placed on top of the hairpin.

5) At the next post, the fourth cable will be placed on top of the hairpin. The top two cables will alternate going through the hairpin. They should never twist with the free cable at the post resting on top of the hairpin. After all the cables are installed, the fourth cable should be taken up to the appropriate tension.









**CABLE INTERCHANGING** 

(CON'T)



### **Critical Points**

• Top two cables should alternate going through the top loop of the hairpin. They should never twist.

	<b>Cable Tension Char</b>	
	-10ºF	8600
	o⁰F	8200
	10⁰F	7800
	20⁰F	7400
	30⁰F	7000
	<b>40⁰F</b>	6600
	50⁰F	6200
	60°F	5800
	70⁰F	5400
	80°F	5000
	90⁰F	4600
	100⁰F	4200
	110⁰F	3800
1	* Allowable Deviation from Chart +/- 10%	
N. N.		



POST HARDWARE INSTALLATION



1) Slide a post into the socket with 3 cables on the slot side of the post and the fourth cable on the backside of the post.

2) Install a hairpin by inserting the base of the hairpin into the post and rotate the hairpin up until the cables are in the loops of the hairpin.

3) Slide the cables and the hairpin up to the post keeping the hairpin top leg in contact by pushing on the loops of the hairpin. This will keep the cables in the loops as the hairpin and cables are slid up the post.

4) Once the top leg of the hairpin clears the top of the post and goes over the post, the assembly can be released and the cables are hung at this time. Bring the fourth cable over the back of the post to the slot side of the post.










# POST HARDWARE INSTALLATION (CON'T)



5) Install lock plate as shown, then rotate clockwise.



6) Place delineation on the post using the correct color for barrier location and at the project delineation spacing.



7) In certain cases, because of the terrain, the cables may be too high.

This is corrected by pushing the cables down to the correct height and using a #12 x 3/4" self-drilling, self-tapping screw. Install the screw through the post and into the lock plate to hold the cables at the correct height.







# POST HARDWARE INSTALLATION (CON'T)



8) If the entire assembly is being raised out of the socket, push the assembly down into the socket and use a #12 x 3/4" self -drilling, self-tapping screw installed \_ vertically between the post and socket to hold the entire assembly down at the correct heights.



# **Critical Points**

- Hairpin and lockplate installed at every post.
- The 3 cables in the hairpin should be at the correct height when measured at the post.
- Use #12 x 3/4" self-drilling, self-tapping screws to hold posts and hardware to ensure cables are at correct height.
- Delineation installed per project specification.



# ACORN WEDGE



1) Start by inserting the cable ends into the acorn shaped casting.



2) Using 2 flathead screwdrivers separate the 3 strands of the cable at the end.

3) Place and insert the triangular wedge between the cable strands. The triangular wedge must point toward the cable. The strands will fit in the grooves of the wedge.

4) Remove the screwdrivers and move the acorn up the cable until the wedge and cable are in the acorn as far as they will go.









# ACORN WEDGE INSTALLATION (CON'T)



5) With the hammer and punch drive the wedge into the cable at least 3/8" but no more than 1/2" past the end of the cable.



6) Drive the acorn up onto the cable until the top of the wedge is even with the bottom opening in the acorn.



7) The 3 strands of cable should be nested in the grooves of the wedge





# ACORN WEDGE INSTALLATION (CON'T)



# **Critical Points**

- Cable should extend 3/8" to 1/2" past the end of the wedge.
- Top of the wedge should be even with or below the bottom of the opening the acorn.
- Individual strands of cable should be in the grooves of the wedge and bundles should still be round.



### **SYSTEM REPAIR**





1) When a portion of the system has been damaged, begin by removing the damaged post and replace with new posts.

2) Make sure that all the cables are on the correct sides of the posts. Make sure that the correct cable is on the top. The top cable should go through the hairpin on adjacent posts and cable should not twist.

3) Insert the cable separator tool between the top two cables. Rotate the separator tool and insert a separator block on either side of the post, then remove the separator tool, leaving the blocks in place.

4) Install a hairpin by inserting the base of the hairpin into the post. Rotate the hairpin until the cables are in loops of the hairpin and the hairpin is in contact with the back of the post. Slide hairpins and cables up the post keeping the back leg of the hairpin in contact with the back of the post until the back leg of the hairpin goes over the post.











SYSTEM REPAIR (CON'T)



5) Reinsert the separator tool between the top two cables, rotate the separator tool so the blocks can be removed. The separator tool can then be removed.



6) Insert the lock plate as shown and attach the delineation if required on this post.



7) If the cable height needs to be adjusted, use a self-drilling, self-tapping screw to hold the 3 cables in the hairpin at the correct height.







SYSTEM REPAIR (CON'T)



8) Repeat the process for the remaining damaged posts. Once the system has been repaired, use a tension meter to check and record the tension of all cables and adjust the tension if necessary.



# **CRITICAL POINTS**

- Hairpin & lockplate installed at every post.
- The 3 cables in the hairpin should be at the correct height when measured at the post.
- Use #12 x 3/4" self-drilling, self-tapping screws to hold posts & hardware to ensure cables are at the correct height.
- Delineation installed per project specification.
- Tension for all cables is within an acceptable range and recorded. Refer to the Adjusting Cable Tension video & Tensioning tab on the app or the tensioning chart in the Cable Distribution section of the manual.



# **CONTACT US**

#### **Technical & Sales Support**

Gibraltar Cable Barrier Systems 1208 Houston Clinton Dr. Burnet, TX 78611 (833) 715-0810 (toll-free) (512) 715-0808 (office) (512) 715-0811 (fax) info@gibraltarglobal.com

Installation videos available at: https://gibraltarglobal.com and on the Gibraltar Global app.



